



SECOND QUARTER FISCAL YEAR 2024 REPORT

(October 1st 2023 – December 30th 2023)

Citizens Review Board for Children
1100 Eastern Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21221 • Phone: (410) 238-1320 • Fax: (410) 238-1395
email: crbc.mail.maryland.gov website: <http://dhr.maryland.gov/crbc>



Table of Contents

<u>Our Mission Statement</u>	3
<u>Our Vision Statement</u>	3
<u>Discrimination Statement</u>	3
<u>Confidentiality</u>	3
<u>CRBC Acknowledgements</u>	4
<u>Introduction</u>	5
<u>Targeted Review Criterion</u>	6
<u>Permanency Plan Hierarchy</u>	7
<u>Family Centered Practice Model</u>	7
<u>2nd Quarter 2024 Case Review Statistics</u>	8
<u>Total Reviewed Statistics</u>	10
<u>Gender Totals</u>	10
<u>Gender By Plan</u>	10
<u>Ethnicity Overall</u>	10
<u>Age Range by Permanency Plan</u>	11
<u>Jurisdictional Case Reviews</u>	12
<u>Anne Arundel County</u>	12
<u>Baltimore County</u>	19
<u>Carroll County</u>	27
<u>Cecil County</u>	34
<u>Charles County</u>	40
<u>Dorchester County</u>	47
<u>Frederick County</u>	54
<u>Harford County</u>	61
<u>Howard County</u>	68
<u>Montgomery County</u>	74
<u>Prince George’s County</u>	82
<u>St. Mary’s</u>	90
<u>Washington County</u>	96
<u>Wicomico County</u>	103
<u>Baltimore City</u>	110
<u>Required Supporting Documentation</u>	118
<u>Recommendations to All LDSS</u>	118
<u>Independent Living</u>	118
<u>Permanent Connections</u>	118
<u>Adoption</u>	118
<u>CRBC Metrics</u>	119
<u>The State Board</u>	120
<u>CRBC Staff</u>	121
<u>References</u>	122



Our Mission Statement

To conduct case reviews of children in out-of-home care, make timely individual case and systemic child welfare recommendations; and advocate for legislative and systematic child welfare improvements to promote safety and permanency.

Our Vision Statement

We envision the protection of all children from abuse and neglect, only placing children in out-of-home care when necessary; and providing families with the help they need to stay intact; children will be safe in a permanent living arrangement.

Discrimination Statement

The Citizens Review Board for Children (CRBC) renounces any policy or practice of discrimination on the basis of race, gender, national origin, ethnicity, religion, disability, or sexual orientation that is or would be applicable to its citizen reviewers or staff or to the children, families, and employees involved in the child welfare system (CRBC, 2013).

Confidentiality

CRBC local board members are bound by strict confidentiality requirements. Under Article 88A, § 6, all records concerning out-of-home care are confidential and unauthorized disclosure is a criminal offense subject to a fine not exceeding \$500 or imprisonment not exceeding 90 days, or both. Each local board member shall be presented with the statutory language on confidentiality, including the penalty for breach thereof, and sign a confidentiality statement prior to having access to any confidential information.

CRBC Acknowledgements


CRBC would like to acknowledge the commitment, dedication, passion and service of all stakeholders on behalf of Maryland's most vulnerable children including:

- ★ CRBC Governor Appointed Volunteers
- ★ The Department of Human Services (DHS)
- ★ The Social Services Administration (SSA)
- ★ The Local Departments of Social Services (LDSS) and (DHHS) Montgomery County
- ★ The Coalition to Protect Maryland's Children(CPMC)
- ★ The State Council on Child Abuse and Neglect (SCCAN)
- ★ The State Child Fatality Review Team (SCFRT)
- ★ The Local Juvenile Courts of Maryland
- ★ All community partners

*Thank
You*

Introduction

The following pages contain data from CRBC's out-of-home-placement case review findings and recommendations for the 2nd Quarter of Fiscal Year 2024.



CRBC conducts regular out-of-home placement case reviews in all 24 Maryland jurisdictions including Baltimore City throughout the year. For this quarterly report, the following counties did not have regularly scheduled case reviews during the quarter: Allegany, Calvert, Caroline, Garrett, Kent, Queen Anne, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester counties. Therefore, this report only contains review findings and recommendations for the 15 jurisdictions including Baltimore City that had regularly scheduled reviews.

Targeted Review Criterion

The Social Services Administration (SSA) and the Citizens Review Board for Children (CRBC) together have created a review work plan for targeted reviews of children in out-of-home-placement. This work plan contains targeted review criteria based on out- of-home-placement permanency plans.

Reunification:

- ★ Already established plans of Reunification for youth 10 years of age and older. CRBC will conduct a review for a child 10 years of age and older who has an established primary permanency plan of Reunification and has been in care 12 months or longer.

Adoption:

- ★ Existing plans of Adoption. CRBC will conduct a review of a child that has had a plan of Adoption for over 12 months. The purpose of the review is to assess the appropriateness of the plan and identify barriers to achieve the plan.
- ★ Newly changed plans of Adoption. CRBC will conduct a review of a child within 5 months after the establishment of Adoption as a primary permanency plan. The purpose is to ensure that there is adequate and appropriate movement by the local departments to promote and achieve the Adoption.

Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA):

- ★ Already established plans of APPLA for youth 16 years of age and younger. CRBC will conduct a full review of a child 16 years of age and younger who has an established primary permanency plan of APPLA. The primary purpose of the review is to assess appropriateness of the plan and review documentation of the Federal APPLA requirements.
- ★ Newly established plans of APPLA. CRBC will conduct a review of a child within 5 months after the establishment of APPLA as the primary permanency plan. Local Boards will review cases to ensure that local departments have made adequate and appropriate efforts to assess if a plan of APPLA was the most appropriate recourse for the child.

Older Youth Aging Out

- ★ Older youth aging-out or remaining in out-of-home care at age 17 and 20 years old. CRBC will conduct reviews of youth that are 17 and 20 years of age. The primary purpose of the review is to assess if services were provided to prepare the youth to transition to adulthood.

Re-Review Cases:

- ★ Assessment of progress made by LDSS. CRBC will conduct follow-up reviews during the fourth quarter of the current fiscal year of any cases wherein the Local Board identified barriers that may impede adequate progress. The purpose of the review is to assess the status of the child and any progress made by LDSS to determine if identified barriers have been removed.

Permanency Plan Hierarchy

In 2005, Maryland House Bill 771 adjusted the state permanency goals to align with the federal standards. The permanency plan hierarchy in Maryland is as follows: (Social Services Administration, 2012):

- Reunification with parent(s) or guardian
- Placement with a relative for adoption or custody/guardianship
- Adoption by a non-relative
- Custody/Guardianship with a non-relative
- Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA)

Family Centered Practice Model

According to the Social Services Administration, Family Centered Practice assures that the entire system of care engages the family in helping them to improve their ability to adequately plan for the care and safety of their children. The safety, well-being and permanence of children are paramount. The strengths of the entire family are the focus of the engagement (2010).

2nd Quarter 2024 Case Review Statistics

The following table shows the jurisdictions where reviews were conducted, the total number of children reviewed, permanency plans and the number of boards held.

Jurn #	County	Non Relative Adoption	Relative Placement for C & G	Non Relative C & G	Reunification	Relative Placement for Adoption	APPLA	TOTAL	# Boards Held
01	Anne Arundel	0	2	1	2	0	6	11	3
02	Baltimore County	4	1	1	7	0	5	18	5
03	Carroll	1	0	0	2	0	1	4	1
04	Cecil	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1
07	Charles	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	1
08	Dorchester	2	0	0	2	0	0	4	1
10	Frederick	2	0	0	1	0	1	4	1
12	Harford	0	0	0	3	0	1	4	1
15	Howard	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	1
16	Montgomery	4	3	0	11	0	7	25	6
18	Prince George's	3	1	0	4	0	7	15	4
19	St. Mary's	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	1
20	Washington	1	0	0	2	0	1	4	1
21	Wicomico	0	1	0	1	0	2	4	1
49	Baltimore City	5	3	4	16	2	13	43	12
15	Statewide Totals	28	15	8	51	2	46	150	40
	Percentages	19%	10%	5%	34%	1%	31%	100%	

CRBC conducted a total of 150 individual out-of-home case reviews (each case reviewed represents 1 child/youth) in 15 Jurisdictions on 40 boards that held reviews during the 2nd quarter of fiscal year 2024.

Although CRBC collects data on a number of data elements, this report will focus on the following:

- Permanency Plan - (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (E))
- Placement Plan - (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (I))
- Progress towards Permanent Placement - (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F))
- Case Planning
- Health/Mental Health (family article 5-545)
- Education (family article 5-545)
- Ready by 21
 - Employment (14 and older)
 - Independent Living Skills (14 and older)
 - Housing

(Transitioning Youth age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the time of the review)

- Permanent Connections
- Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)
- Adoptive Services
 - Pre-Adoptive Placement
 - Adoptive Recruitment
 - Post-Adoption Services
- Child's Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings
- Miscellaneous Findings
- Typical Statewide Barriers/Issues to Permanency
 - No Service Agreement with parents
 - No current safety risk
 - Lack of concurrent planning
 - Lack of follow-up (general)
 - Youth placed outside home jurisdiction
 - Youth has not been measured for mental health
 - Other physical health barrier
 - Other service resource barrier
 - Other placement barrier
 - Non-compliance with service agreement
 - Youth engages in risky behavior
 - Health concerns
 - Lack of documentation
- Typical Statewide Barriers /Issues to Adoption
 - Pre-adoptive resources not identified
 - Child in pre-adoptive home, but adoption not finalized
 - Efforts not made to move towards finalization
 - Other court related barrier

Total Reviewed (150)

Gender Totals

Male	Female
78 (52%)	72 (48%)

Gender by Plan

Male (78)

Non Relative Adoption	Relative Placement for C & G	Non Relative C & G	Reunification	APPLA	Relative Placement for Adoption
17 (22%)	9 (12%)	4 (5%)	24 (31%)	23 (29%)	1 (1%)

Female (72)

Non Relative Adoption	Relative Placement for C & G	Non Relative C & G	Reunification	APPLA	Relative Placement for Adoption
11 (15%)	6 (8%)	4 (6%)	27 (38%)	23 (32%)	1 (1%)

Ethnicity Overall

African American	Caucasian	Asian	Other
92 (61%)	50 (33%)	2 (1%)	6 (4%)



Age Range by Permanency Plan

[RU] = Reunification

[RA] = Relative Adoption

[RG] = Relative C & G

[AD] = Non-Relative Adoption

[CG] = Non-Relative C & G

[AP] = AAPLA

AGE RANGE	RU	RA	RG	AD	CG	AP	Totals
1_age 0 thru 5	6	1	3	9	1	0	20
2_age 6 thru 10	10	0	2	5	1	0	18
3_age 11 thru 13	12	0	4	8	3	0	27
4_age 14 thru 16	16	1	4	6	1	2	30
5_age 17 thru 19	7	0	2	0	2	25	36
6_age 20	0	0	0	0	0	19	19
Totals	51	2	15	28	8	46	150

Citizens Review Board for Children

1100 Eastern Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21221 • Phone: (410) 238-1320 • Fax: (410) 238-1395

email: crbc.mail.maryland.gov website: <http://dhr.maryland.gov/crbc>

Anne Arundel County



Anne Arundel County had a total of 11 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Relative Placement for Custody & Guardianship: 2 cases
- Non Relative Custody & Guardianship: 1 case
- Reunification: 2 cases
- APPLA: 6 cases

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department's permanency plan for all 11 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court identified 3 concurrent permanency plans.

The local department was implementing the concurrent permanency plan set by the local Juvenile Court for all 3 cases.

Category of APPLA plan (6 cases)

- Emancipation/Independence (4)
- Transition to an adult (2)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – 1 case)

The 1 APPLA case had a permanent connection identified and the local board agreed that the connection was appropriate for the case.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months			2		1	1
7 to 11 months	1					3
1 to 2 years	1					
2 to 3 years						1
3 years or more						1
Totals → 11	2		2		1	6

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 11 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had a signed service agreement for 7 cases. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process was made for 10 cases.

The local board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 7 signed cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
4	Treatment Foster Care (Private)
1	Residential Group Home
4	Therapeutic Group Home
1	Independent Living Residential Program
1	Non-Relative

In 1 case reviewed the child/youth was placed in their home jurisdiction in a setting that was in close proximity to their community which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department's placement plan for 10 of 11 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 5 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. 2 cases had 1 placement change and 3 cases had 2 placement changes.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for the cases.

The following levels of care were found for the 5 most recent placement changes:

- 2 case(s) were in a less restrictive placement
- 1 case(s) was a more restrictive placement
- 2 case(s) were the same level of care

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes were:

- 1 case(s) was transitioning towards permanency goal
- 4 case(s) the move did not occur for positive reason

Child/youth specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 4 case(s) unrelated to behavioral issues
- 1 case(s) related to behavior of child

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- Yes, for 4 cases

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for 4 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that 8 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 10 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 8 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 8 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: The local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for 7 eligible children/youths reviewed.
- Completed Medical Records: The local department reported that 7 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 9 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 9 children/youths.

- Psychotropic Medication: 9 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for the 9 children/youths.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: 4 of 9 children/youths refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 11 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: 11 children/youths had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 9 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: 7 children/youths with mental health issues that had mental health issues were transitioning out of care had an identified plan.
- Substance Abuse: 3 children/youths had a substance abuse problem.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Yes, for the 1 child/youth.
- Behavioral Issues: 9 children/youths had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes for 8 of 9 children/youths.
- Standard Health Exams: 2 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of 7 of the 11 children/youths had been met.

Education

8 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. All 8 children/youths were in Pre-K through 12th grade. 1 child/youth graduated high school and 1 child/youth refused to attend school.

7 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan and all 7 had a copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child's/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was available for review for 6 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade.

The local board agreed that 9 children/youths were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

➤ Employment (age 14 and older – 10 cases)

4 of 11 youths were employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience. 3 youths did not participate in paid or unpaid work experience. 2 youths did not participate due to being medically fragile and 1 youth had mental health reasons for not participating.

4 youths that were participating in paid or unpaid work experience relevant to career field of choice.

3 youths were referred to summer or year-round training and employment opportunities.

➤ Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 10 cases)

6 youths were receiving required independent living skills.

7 youths completed a life skills assessment for successful transition to adulthood.

The local board agreed that 6 youths were receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

➤ Housing (Transitioning Youth – none)

(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Not applicable.

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (none)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (none)

Not applicable.

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (none)

Miscellaneous Findings

Citizens Review Board for Children

1100 Eastern Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21221 • Phone: (410) 238-1320 • Fax: (410) 238-1395

email: crbc.mail.maryland.gov website: <http://dhr.maryland.gov/crbc>

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

8 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for 9 of 11 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	7	4
No	4	7

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week	1	
More than once a week	1	2
Once a month	2	1
More than once a month	3	1
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised	3	1
Unsupervised	4	3

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative	1	1
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other	2	

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area	1	
Child's/Youth's Placement	2	
Other		

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		2
No	10	5

Siblings/Visits

3 of the 11 children/youths had siblings in care. 1 child had 1 sibling in care, 2 children/youths had 2 siblings in care and 3 children/youths had 3 siblings in care. Efforts were made to place siblings together for 3 children/youths that did not reside with each other. 3 children/youths have visits with siblings who do not reside with each other. 5 children/youths have visits with siblings who are not in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for 9 of 11 children/youths reviewed.



Baltimore County had a total of 18 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Non Relative Adoption: 4 case(s)
- Relative Placement for Custody & Guardianship: 1 case(s)
- Non Relative Custody & Guardianship: 1 case(s)
- Reunification: 7 case(s)
- APPLA: 5 case(s)

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department's permanency plan for 15 of the 18 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court identified a concurrent permanency plan for 5 cases.

The local department was implementing the concurrent permanency plan set by the local Juvenile Court for 4 cases.

Category of APPLA plan (5 cases)

- Emancipation/Independence (3)
- Transition to an adult (2)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – 3 cases)

3 of the 5 APPLA cases had a permanent connection identified and the local board agreed that the connections were appropriate for the 3 cases.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months				3	1	1
7 to 11 months	3					
1 to 2 years	1		1			
2 to 3 years	2			1		3
3 years or more	1					1
Totals → 18	7		1	4	1	5

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 18 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had a signed service agreement for 2 cases. 4 children/youths were Post TPR child under the age of 14. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for 2 cases.

The local board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 2 signed cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
2	None
1	Formal Kinship Care
5	Regular Foster Care
5	Treatment Foster Care (Private)
1	Residential Group Home
1	Therapeutic Group Home
1	Independent Living Residential Program
1	Residential Treatment Center
1	Other

In 11 of the 18 cases reviewed the child/youth was placed in their home jurisdiction in settings that were in close proximity to their communities which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department's placement plan for the 16 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

5 of the 18 cases reviewed had a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review.

2 cases had 1 placement change, 2 cases had 2 placement changes and 1 case had more than 4 or more placement changes.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for all 5 cases.

The following levels of care were found in 5 most recent placement changes:

- 2 case(s) were in a less restrictive placement
- 3 case(s) were the same level of care

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes were:

- 1 case(s) were transitioning towards permanency goal
- 4 case(s) move did not occur for positive reason

Child/youth specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 3 case(s) change unrelated to behavior of child
- 1 case(s) related to behavioral issues
- 1 case(s) other

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- Yes, for 1 case

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for 4 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that 5 of the 18 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 14 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 8 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 10 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: The local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for 4 eligible children/youths reviewed.
- Completed Medical Records: The local department reported that 6 children/youths had

Citizens Review Board for Children

1100 Eastern Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21221 • Phone: (410) 238-1320 • Fax: (410) 238-1395

email: crbc.mail.maryland.gov website: <http://dhr.maryland.gov/crbc>

completed medical records in their case files.

- Prescription Medication: 6 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 6 children/youths.
- Psychotropic Medication: 4 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for 4 children/youths.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: 1 child/youth refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 11 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: Yes, for 13 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for 10 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: 3 children/youths with mental health issues were transitioning out of care and had an identified plan to obtain mental health services in the adult mental health system.
- Substance Abuse: Yes, for 3 children/youths.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: No, for the 3 children/youths.
- Behavioral Issues: Yes, for 10 children/youths.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for 9 of 10 children/youths.
- Standard Health Exams: None of the 18 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of 6 of the 18 children/youths had been met.

Education

15 of 18 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. 14 children/youths were in Pre-K through 12th grade and 1 youth was in college. 2 youths graduated high school and 1 youth was under the age of 5.

7 of the 14 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan and 6 had a

copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was available for review for 3 of the 14 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade.

The local board agreed that all 15 children/youths enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

➤ Employment (age 14 and older – 8 cases)

1 child/youth was employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience.

1 child/youth employed was participating in work experience relevant to career field of choice.

1 child/youth is 20 years old and is earning a living wage.

The local board agreed that 2 of 8 youths were being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals.

➤ Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 8 cases)

5 of 8 youths were receiving appropriate services to adequately prepare for independent living.

5 youths completed a Life Skill Assessment for successful transition to adulthood.

5 youths were receiving required independent living skills.

The local board agreed that 6 of 8 youths were receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

➤ Housing (Transitioning Youth – 1 case)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

The Board did not agree with transitional housing plan for 1 youth.

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted. 1 child/youth consented to adoption and 1 was under the age of consent.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (5 cases)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (5 cases)

5 children/youths with a plan of adoption were placed in a pre-adoptive home. The pre-adoptive family structure was comprised of a married couple and the relationship to the pre-adoptive child was a non-relative foster parent(s) for all 5 cases. 1 case was a concurrent plan of non-relative adoption.

Length of time in the pre-adoptive placement was as follows:

- 1 case(s) from 16 to 20 months
- 4 case(s) 21 months or more

A home study was not completed for 2 of 5 cases.

The local board agreed that appropriate services and supports were in place for the pre-adoptive family to meet the identified needs of the child.

The local board agreed that the pre-adoptive placement was appropriate for all 5 children/youths.

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Not applicable.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (1 case)

Post adoptive services were needed for 1 child/youth. The service that was needed was medical.

The local board found that the post adoptive service was appropriate for the 1 child/youth.

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

3 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for 16 of 18 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	8	4
No	10	10

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily	1	2
Once a week	3	1
More than once a week		
Once a month	2	2
More than once a month		1
Quarterly	1	
Yes, but undocumented	2	2

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised	4	
Unsupervised	5	8

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative	4	
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other		

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home	4	8
LDSS Visitation Center	1	
Public Area	1	
Child's/Youth's Placement	2	
Other		

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	2	7
No	5	5

Siblings/Visits

The local board found that 10 of the 18 children/youths had siblings in care. 4 of the children/youths had 1 sibling in care and 12 had 2 siblings in care. Efforts were made to place siblings together who did not reside together for 10 children/youths. 8 children/youths have visits with siblings who do not reside with each other. 5 children/youths have visits with siblings who are not in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for 17 of the 18 children/youths reviewed.



Carroll County had a total of 4 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Reunification: 2 cases
- Non-Relative Adoption: 1 case
- AAPLA: 1 case

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department's permanency plan for 3 of 4 cases reviewed.

The local juvenile court identified a concurrent permanency plan for 2 cases.

The local department was implementing the concurrent permanency plan set by the local juvenile court for the 2 cases.

Category of APPLA plan (1 case)

- Emancipation/Independence (1)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – 1 case)

1 APPLA cases had a permanent connection identified and the local board agreed that the permanent connection was appropriate.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months	1					
7 to 11 months						1
1 to 2 years	1					
2 to 3 years						
3 years or more				1		
Totals →4	2			1		1

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 4 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had a signed service agreement for 2 cases. Efforts to involve the family in the service agreement process were made for 3 of 4 cases.

The local board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 2 signed cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
1	None
1	Regular Foster Care
1	Treatment Foster Care (Private)
1	Other

1 child/youth was placed in their home jurisdiction in a setting that was in close proximity to their community which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department's placement plan for all 4 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 1 of the 4 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. The 1 case had 1 placement change.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement change for the 1 case.

The following levels of care were found for the 1 most recent placement change:

- 1 case(s) had the same level of care

The primary reason for the most recent placement change:

- 1 case(s) was transitioning towards a permanency goal

Provider specific issues for the most recent placement change included:

- 1 case(s) placement not due to provider issue

Child specific issues for the most recent placement change included:

- 1 case(s) change unrelated to behavior of child

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placement?

- Yes, for the 1 case

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for the 1 case

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that all 4 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 4 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 3 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 2 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: The local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for all 4 children/youths reviewed.
- Completed Medical Records: The local department reported that 3 of the 4 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 2 of the 4 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Yes, for both children/youths.
- Psychotropic Medication: 2 of the children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.

- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Yes, for both children/youths.
- 2 of the 4 children/youths refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: All 4 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: Yes, for all 4 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for all 4 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: 3 children/youths with mental health issues were transitioning out of care and had an identified plan to obtain mental health services in the adult mental health system.
- Substance Abuse: Yes, for 1 child/youth.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Yes, for the 1 child/youth.
- Behavioral Issues: Yes, for 3 of 4 children/youths.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for 3 of the 4 children/youths.
- 1 child/youth refused to comply with standard health exam.

The local board found that the health needs of 2 of the 4 children/youths had been met.

Education

3 of the 4 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. All 3 were in Pre-K through 12th grade. 1 youth not enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program had already graduated high school.

All 3 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan and had a copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was available for review for the 3 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade.

The local board agreed that all 4 children/youths were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

➤ Employment (age 14 and older – 2 cases)

1 of the 2 youths was employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience.

1 youth employed was participating in paid or unpaid work experience relevant to career field of choice.

The local board agreed that 1 of 2 youths were being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals.

➤ Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 2 cases)

The local board agreed that 1 of 2 youths were receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

➤ Housing (Transitioning Youth – none)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Not applicable.

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (none)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (none)

Not applicable.

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Not applicable.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (none)

Not applicable.

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

All 4 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for all 4 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	3	1
No	1	3

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily	2	
Once a week		
More than once a week		
Once a month	1	1
More than once a month		
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised	1	1
Unsupervised	2	

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative	1	1
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other		

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area		
Child's/Youth's Placement		
Other		

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	2	
No	2	

Siblings/Visits

The local board found that none of the 4 children/youths had siblings in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for 4 of the 4 children/youths reviewed.



Cecil County had a total of 2 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Non-Relative Custody & Guardianship: 2 cases

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department’s permanency plan for all 2 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court identified a concurrent permanency plan for both cases.

The local department was implementing the concurrent permanency plan set by the local juvenile court for the 2 cases.

Category of APPLA plan (none)

Permanent Connections (none)

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months					2	
7 to 11 months						
1 to 2 years						
2 to 3 years						
3 years or more						
Totals → 2					2	

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 2 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had a signed service agreement for 1 case. No efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for the 2 cases.

The local board did not agree that the service agreements were appropriate for the 2 cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
2	Regular Foster Care

Both children/youths were placed in their home jurisdiction in a setting that was in close proximity to their community which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department’s placement plan for all 2 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In all 2 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. 1 case had 2 placement changes and 1 case had 4 or more placement changes.

It is unknown if the local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for the 2 cases.

The following levels of care were found for the 2 most recent placement changes:

- 2 case(s) was same level of care

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes:

- 2 case(s) move did not occur for positive reason

Provider specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 2 case(s) placement not due to provider issue

Child specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 1 case(s) change unrelated to behavior of child
- 1 case(s) behavioral

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- Yes, for the 2 cases

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for the 2 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that 1 of the 2 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 2 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: None of the 2 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 1 child/youth had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: It is unknown if the local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for the 2 children/youths reviewed.
- Completed Medical Records: The local department reported that none of the 2 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 2 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 2 children/youths.
- Psychotropic Medication: 1 child/youth was taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for the 1 child/youth.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: None of the children/youths refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 1 child/youth had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: 1 child/youth had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: No, for the 1 child/youth.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: Not applicable. None of the children/youths with mental health issues were transitioning out of care.
- Substance Abuse: None of the 2 children/youths had a substance abuse problem.

Citizens Review Board for Children

1100 Eastern Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21221 • Phone: (410) 238-1320 • Fax: (410) 238-1395

email: crbc.mail.maryland.gov website: <http://dhr.maryland.gov/crbc>

- Substance Abuse Addressed: Not applicable.
- Behavioral Issues: 1 child/youth had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 1 child/youth.
- Standard Health Exams: 1 of the 2 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of the 2 children/youths had been met.

Education

1 of the 2 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. The 1 child/youth was in Pre-K through 12th grade. 1 child/youth was under the age of 5.

1 child/youth in Pre-K through 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan and had a copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was not available for review for the 1 child/youth in Pre-K through 12th grade.

The local board agreed that 1 child/youth was being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

- Employment (age 14 and older – None)
- Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – None)
- Housing (Transitioning Youth – None)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (None)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (None)

Adoptive Recruitment (None)

Not applicable.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (None)

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

2 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for all 2 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	2	
No		2

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week	2	
More than once a week		
Once a month		
More than once a month		
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised		
Unsupervised	2	

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative		
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other		

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area	2	
Child's/Youth's Placement		
Other		

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		
No	2	

Siblings/Visits

2 children/youths had siblings in care. Both children/youths had 1 sibling in care. Efforts were made to place the siblings together if they do not reside with each other in both cases. The 2 children/youths have visits with siblings who are not in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for 2 of the 2 children/youths reviewed.

Charles County



Charles County had a total of 3 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Non-Relative Adoption: 1 case
- AAPLA: 2 cases

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department’s permanency plan for all 3 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court identified concurrent permanency plan for 1 case.

The local department was not implementing any concurrent permanency plan set by the local Juvenile Court for the 1 case.

Category of APPLA plan (2 cases)

- Emancipation/Independence: (2) cases

Permanent Connections (2 cases)

All 2 APPLA cases had a permanent connection identified and the local board agreed that the connections were appropriate for both cases.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months				1		
7 to 11 months						1
1 to 2 years						
2 to 3 years						1
3 years or more						
Totals →3				1		2

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 3 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department did not have a signed service agreement for 2 of 3 cases. Efforts were made to involve the family in the service agreement process were made for 2 cases.

The local board agree that the service agreements were appropriate to meet the needs of children/youths for 2 of 3 cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
1	Regular Foster Care
2	Residential Group Home

1 child/youth was placed in their home jurisdiction in settings that were in close proximity to their communities which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department’s placement plan for 2 of 3 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 2 of 3 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. Both cases had 1 placement change.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for 1 case.

The following level of care was found for the 2 most recent placement changes:

- 1 case(s) was a less restrictive placement
- 1 case(s) was more restrictive

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes were:

- 1 case(s) was transitioning towards permanency goal
- 1 case(s) move did not occur for positive reason

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements:

- Yes, for 1 case

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for the 2 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that 2 of the 3 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 2 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 1 child/youth had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 2 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: None.
- Completed Medical Records: It is unknown if the local department reported that had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 3 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 3 children/youths.
- Psychotropic Medication: 3 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for the 3 children/youths.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: No child/youth refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 3 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: 3 children/youths had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 3 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: 1 child/youth with mental health issues transitioning out of care had no identified plan.
- Substance Abuse: None of the 3 children/youths had substance abuse issues.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Not Applicable.

Citizens Review Board for Children

1100 Eastern Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21221 • Phone: (410) 238-1320 • Fax: (410) 238-1395

email: crbc.mail.maryland.gov website: <http://dhr.maryland.gov/crbc>

- Behavioral Issues: 2 children/youths had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for both children/youths.
- Standard Health Exams: None of the 5 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of all 3 children/youths had been met.

Education

1 child/youth reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. The 1 child/youth was in Pre-K through 12th grade. 2 children/youths not enrolled in school or other vocational program already graduated high school.

1 child/youth enrolled in Pre-K through 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan. No copy of the 504/IEP plan was found in the child/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was available for review for 1 child/youth in Pre-K through 12th grade.

The local board did not agree that the 1 child/youth educational goals are being met.

Ready By 21

➤ Employment (age 14 and older – 3 cases)

None of the 3 youths were employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience.

1 youth did not participate in paid or unpaid work experience due to mental health reasons.

The local board agreed that none of 3 youths were being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals.

➤ Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 3 cases)

None of the 3 youths were receiving required independent living skills to adequately prepare for independent living when they leave out of home care.

2 of 3 youths had completed a life skills assessment.

The local board agreed that none of the 3 youths were receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

- Housing (Transitioning Youth – 1 case)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Housing had been specified for the 1 youth transitioning out of care.

Alternative housing options were provided to the youth.

The local board agreed with the transitional housing plan for the 1 youth.

The local board agreed that 1 youth was being appropriately prepared to transition out of care.

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (None)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (None)

Adoptive Recruitment (None)

Not applicable.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (None)

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

1 case had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for all 3 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	1	
No	2	3

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week		
More than once a week		
Once a month		
More than once a month	1	
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised		
Unsupervised	1	

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative		
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other		

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area		
Child's/Youth's Placement		
Other		

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		
No	1	



Siblings/Visits

None of the 3 children/youths had siblings in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for 2 of the 3 children/youths reviewed.



CRBC
Citizens Review Board for Children
Dorchester County



Dorchester County had a total of 4 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Non-Relative Adoption: 2 cases
- Reunification: 2 cases

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department’s permanency plan for all 4 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court identified concurrent permanency plan for 3 cases.

The local department was implementing the concurrent permanency plan set by the local Juvenile Court for the 3 cases.

Category of APPLA plan (None)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – None)

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months				1		
7 to 11 months						
1 to 2 years	2			1		
2 to 3 years						
3 years or more						
Totals →4	2			2		

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 4 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had a signed service agreement for 2 cases. 1 child/youth was a Post-TPR child under the age of 14. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for 3 cases.

The local board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 2 signed cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
2	None
1	Regular Foster Care
1	Residential Treatment Center

In 1 of the 4 cases reviewed the child/youth was placed in their home jurisdiction in settings that were in close proximity to their community which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department’s placement plan for all 4 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 3 of the 4 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. 1 case had 1 placement change and 2 cases had 2 placement changes.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for 2 of 3 cases.

The following level of care was found for the 3 most recent placement changes:

- 2 case(s) were less restrictive placement
- 1 case(s) was more restrictive placement

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes were:

- 2 case(s) were transitioning towards permanency goal
- 1 case(s) move did not occur for positive reason

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements:

- Yes, for the 3 cases

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for all 3 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that 2 of the 4 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 4 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 4 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 2 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: The local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for 2 children/youths requiring follow-ups
- Completed Medical Records: The local departments reported that all 4 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 1 child/youth was taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for 1 child/youth.
- Psychotropic Medication: 2 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for both children/youths.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: None of the children/youths refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 3 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: 3 children/youths had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 3 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: Not applicable, none of the 3 children/youths with mental health issues were transitioning out of care.
- Substance Abuse: None of the children/youths had substance abuse problem.

- Substance Abuse Addressed: Not applicable.
- Behavioral Issues: 1 child/youth had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 1 child/youth.
- Standard Health Exams: None of the 4 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of all 4 children/youths had been met.

Education

4 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. All 4 children/youths were in Pre-k through 12 grade.

2 of 4 children/youths had a 504 or IEP plan and a copy was found in both youths record.

All 4 children/youths had a current progress report card available for review.

The local board agreed the educational goals were met for 2 children/youths.

Ready By 21

- Employment (age 14 and older – 1 case)

1 youth was not employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience.

1 youth was referred to summer or year-round training and employment opportunities.

The local board agreed that 1 youth was being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals.

- Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 1 case)

1 youth had completed a life skills assessment.

The local board agreed that 1 youth was receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

- Housing (Transitioning Youth – None)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (None)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (None)

Adoptive Recruitment (1 case)

The local board found that the local department had documented efforts to find an adoptive resource for the 1 child/youth not placed in pre-adoptive home. The 1 child/youth was listed on Adopt Us Kids.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (1 case)

Post-adoptive services were not needed for the 1 child/youth.

The local board agreed that the post-adoptive services and resources were appropriate for the child.

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

Yes, all 4 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for all 4 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		
No	4	4

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week		
More than once a week		
Once a month		
More than once a month		
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised		
Unsupervised		

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative		
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other		

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area		
Child's/Youth's Placement		
Other		

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		
No		



Siblings/Visits

None of the 4 children/youths had siblings in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for all 4 children/youths reviewed.



Frederick County had a total of 4 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Reunification: 1 case
- Non-Relative Adoption: 2 cases
- APPLA: 1 case

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department’s permanency plan for all 4 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court did not identify concurrent permanency plan for any case.

The local department was not implementing any concurrent permanency plans set by the local Juvenile Court for any case.

Category of APPLA plan (1 case)

- Emancipation/Independence (1)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – 1 case)

1 APPLA case had a permanent connection identified and the local board agreed that the connection was appropriate for the case.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months	1					1
7 to 11 months						
1 to 2 years						
2 to 3 years				2		
3 years or more						
Totals →4	1			2		1

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 4 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had a signed service agreement for 2 cases. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for 4 cases.

The local board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 4 cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
1	Alternative Living Units
1	Therapeutic Group Home
2	Diagnostic Center

None of the 4 children/youths reviewed was placed in their home jurisdiction in a setting that was in close proximity to their community which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department's placement plan for all 4 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 2 of the 4 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. 2 cases had 3 placement changes.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for the 2 cases.

The following levels of care were found for the 2 most recent placement changes:

- 2 case(s) were a more restrictive placement

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes:

- 2 case(s) move did not occur for positive reason

Provider specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 2 case(s) provider request

Child/youth specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 2 case(s) behavioral

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- Yes, for 2 cases

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for 2 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that all 4 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 4 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 4 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 3 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: The local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for 4 children/youths requiring follow-ups.
- Completed Medical Records: The local department reported that 3 of the 4 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 4 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 4 children/youths.
- Psychotropic Medication: 4 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for the 4 children/youths.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: None of the children/youths refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 4 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: 4 children/youths had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for all 4 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: All 4 youths with mental health issues who were

transitioning out of care had an identified plan to receive services in the adult mental health system.

- Substance Abuse: None of the children/youths had a substance abuse problem.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Not applicable.
- Behavioral Issues: 4 children/youths had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 4 children/youths.
- Standard Health Exams: None of the 4 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of 3 of the 4 children/youths had been met.

Education

4 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. All 4 were in Pre-K through 12th grade.

3 of the 4 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan and 2 had a copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was available for review for 1 of the 4 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade.

The local board agreed that 3 children/youths enrolled in school, or another educational/vocational program were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

- Employment (age 14 and older – 3 cases)

2 of the 3 youths were employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience. 1 youth did not participate in paid or unpaid work experience due to mental health reasons.

1 youth was referred to summer or year-round training and/or employment opportunities.

The local board agreed that 2 youths were being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals.

➤ Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 3 cases)

3 youths received appropriate services to adequately prepare for independent living.

3 youths had completed a life skills assessment.

3 youths were receiving required independent living skills.

The local board agreed that 3 youths were receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

➤ Housing (Transitioning Youth – 1 case)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Housing had been specified for 1 youth transitioning out of care.

Alternative housing options were provided to the 1 youth.

The local board agreed with the transitional housing plan for the 1 youth.

The local board agreed that the 1 youth was being appropriately prepared to transition out of care.

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted. In 1 case the child/youth did not consent as the concurrent plan is reunification.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (none)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (none)

Adoptive Recruitment (1 case)

The local board found that the local department had documented efforts to find an adoptive resource for the 1 child/youth not placed in a pre-adoptive home. The adoptive recruitment resource was Wendy's Wonderful Kids, and the child/youth was listed on Adopt-Us-Kids.

The local board agreed that the adoptive recruitment efforts were appropriate for the 1 case.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (none)

None.

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

3 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for all 4 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	2	2
No	2	2

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week	1	1
More than once a week		
Once a month	1	1
More than once a month		
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised		
Unsupervised	2	2

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative		
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other		

Where do Visits Occur ?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area		
Child's/Youth's Placement		
Other		

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	2	2
No	2	2

Siblings/Visits

2 of the children/youths had siblings in care. Both children/youths had 1 sibling in care. Efforts were made to place both siblings together in the 2 cases. 2 children/youths have visits with siblings who do not reside with them. 1 child/youth have visits with siblings who are not in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for all 4 children/youths reviewed.



Harford County had a total of 4 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Reunification: 3 cases
- APPLA: 1 case

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department’s permanency plan for 3 of 4 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court did not identify any concurrent plan.

The local department was not implementing the concurrent permanency plan set by the local Juvenile Court for any case.

Category of APPLA plan (1 case)

- Transition to an adult (1)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – 1 case)

1 APPLA case had a permanent connection identified and the local board agreed that the connections were appropriate for the 1 case.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months						
7 to 11 months						
1 to 2 years						1
2 to 3 years						
3 years or more	3					
Totals →4	3					1

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for 1 of the 4 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had a signed service agreement for 1 case. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for 2 cases.

The local board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 1 signed case.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
2	Regular Foster Care
1	Restrictive Relative Foster Care
1	Residential Group Home

In 3 of the 4 cases reviewed the children/youths were placed in their home jurisdiction in settings that were in close proximity to their communities which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department's placement plan for all 4 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 2 of the 4 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. 1 case had 1 placement change and 1 case had 2 changes.

It is unknown if the local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for 2 cases.

The following levels of care were found for the 2 most recent placement changes:

- 2 case(s) had the same level of care

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes:

- 1 case(s) was transitioning towards permanency goal
- 1 case(s) move did not occur for positive reason

Provider specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 2 case(s) placement not due to provider issue

Child/youth specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 1 case(s) unrelated to behavior of child
- 1 case(s) related to child behavior

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- Yes, for all 2 cases

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for all 2 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that 3 of the 4 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 4 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 1 child/youth had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 1 child/youth had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: Appropriate follow-ups did not occur on all health concerns noted by a physician for 1 child/youth requiring follow-ups.
- Completed Medical Records: The local departments reported that 1 of the 4 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 3 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 3 children/youths.
- Psychotropic Medication: 2 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for the 2 children/youths.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: None of the children/youths refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 4 children/youths had mental health issues.

- Mental Health Diagnosis: 4 children/youths had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for 2 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: 1 youth with mental health issues who was transitioning out of care had an identified plan to receive services in the adult mental health system.
- Substance Abuse: None of children/youths had a substance abuse problem.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Not applicable.
- Behavioral Issues: 2 children/youths had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 1 child/youth.
- Standard Health Exams: None of the 4 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of 1 of the 4 children/youths had been met.

Education

3 of the 4 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. All 3 of the children/youths were in Pre-K through 12th grade. 1 child/youth not enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program had already graduated high school.

1 of the 3 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan. The 1 child/youth did not have a copy of the 504/IEP plan in their record.

A current progress report/report card was available for review for none of the 3 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade.

The local board agreed that 1 of the 3 children/youths were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

- Employment (age 14 and older – 1 case)

1 youth was not employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience. The 1 youth was not participating in paid or unpaid work experience due to mental health reasons.

1 youth was not referred to summer or year-round training and/or employment opportunities.

The local board neither agreed nor disagreed that the 1 youth was being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals for mental health reasons.

➤ Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 1 case)

1 youth was not able to complete a life skills assessment due to mental health reasons.

1 youth was not able to receive required independent living skills to mental health reasons.

The local board neither agreed nor disagreed that 1 youth was receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living for mental health reasons.

➤ Housing (Transitioning Youth – 1 case)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Housing has been specified for 1 youth transitioning out of care.

Alternative housing options were provided to the 1 youth.

The local board agreed with the transitional housing plan for the 1 youth.

The local board agreed that the 1 youth was being appropriately prepared to transition out of care.

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (none)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (none)

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (none)

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

All 4 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for all 4 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	2	2
No	2	2

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week		
More than once a week		
Once a month		
More than once a month	2	2
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised	2	2
Unsupervised		

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative	2	2
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other		

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center		2
Public Area	2	
Child's/Youth's Placement		
Other		

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		
No	2	2

Siblings/Visits

The local board found that 3 of the 4 children/youths had siblings in care. Efforts were made to place siblings together who did not reside together for 3 children/youths. 3 children/youths with siblings in care had visits with their siblings who did not reside with them.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for all 4 of the children/youths reviewed.



Howard County had a total of 4 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Relative Placement for Custody & Guardianship: 4 cases

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department’s permanency plan for all 4 cases reviewed.

The local juvenile court identified a concurrent permanency plan for 4 cases.

The local department was implementing the concurrent permanency plan set by the local juvenile court for the 4 cases.

Category of APPLA plan (none)

Permanent Connections (none)

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months			4			
7 to 11 months						
1 to 2 years						
2 to 3 years						
3 years or more						
Totals →4			4			

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 4 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had signed service agreement for none of the 4 cases. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for all 4 cases.

The local board did not agree that the service agreements was appropriate for the 4 cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
4	Restrictive Foster Care (Relative)

Of the 4 cases reviewed the children/youths were not placed in their home jurisdiction in settings that were in close proximity to their communities which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department’s placement plan for 4 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 4 of the 4 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. All 4 cases had 2 placement changes.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement change for the 4 cases.

The following level of care was found for the 4 most recent placement changes:

- 4 case(s) same level of care

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes:

- 4 case(s) transition towards permanency goal

Provider specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 4 case(s) placement not due to provider issue

Child/youth specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 4 case(s) change unrelated to behavior of child

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- Yes, for 4 cases

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for 4 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that none of the 4 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 4 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 4 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 4 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: The local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for the 4 eligible children/youths reviewed.
- Completed Medical Records: The local department reported that all 4 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: None of the 4 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Not applicable.
- Psychotropic Medication: None of the 4 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Not applicable.
- Mental Health Issues: 1 child/youth had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: Yes, for 2 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 2 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: None of the youths with mental health issues were transitioning out of care.
- Substance Abuse: None of the 4 children/youths had a substance abuse problem.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Not applicable.
- Behavioral Issues: None of the 4 children/youths had behavioral issues.

- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Not applicable.
- Standard Health Exams: No child/youth refused to comply with standard health exam.

The local board found that the health needs of all 4 children/youths had been met.

Education

2 of the 4 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. Both were in Pre-K through 12th grade. The 2 children/youths not enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program were under the age of 5.

None of the 2 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan.

A current progress report/report card was available for review for both children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade.

The local board agreed that both children/youths were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

- Employment (age 14 and older – none)
- Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – none)
- Housing (Transitioning Youth – none)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (none)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (none)

Not applicable.

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Not applicable.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (none)

Not applicable.

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

4 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for all 4 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	4	
No		4

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week		
More than once a week		
Once a month		
More than once a month	4	
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised	4	
Unsupervised		

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative		
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member	2	
Foster Parent	2	
Other		

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center	2	
Public Area	1	
Child's/Youth's Placement		
Other	1	

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		
No	4	4

Siblings/Visits

The local board found that 4 children/youths had siblings in care. Efforts were made to place siblings together who did not reside together for 4 children/youths. 4 children/youths with siblings in care had visits with their siblings who did not reside with them.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for all 4 children/youths reviewed.

.



Prince George's County had a total of 25 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Non Relative Adoption: 4 cases
- Relative Placement for Custody/Guardianship: 3 cases
- Reunification: 11 cases
- AAPLA: 7 cases

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department's permanency plan for all 19 of 25 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court identified concurrent permanency plans for 6 cases.

The local department was implementing the concurrent permanency plans set by the local Juvenile Court for the 6 cases.

Category of APPLA plan (7 cases)

- Emancipation/Independence (7)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – 7 cases)

7 APPLA cases had a permanent connection identified and the local board agreed that the connections were appropriate for the 7 cases.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months	2		1			3
7 to 11 months	4		2			
1 to 2 years	1					
2 to 3 years				2		1
3 years or more	4			2		3
Totals → 25	11		3	4		7

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 25 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had a signed service agreement for 9 cases. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for 18 cases.

The local board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 8 signed cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
2	None
3	Formal Kinship Care
4	Regular Foster Care
2	Restrictive Foster Care (Relative)
3	Treatment Foster Care (Private)
1	Residential Group Home
6	Therapeutic Group Home
2	Independent Living Residential Program
1	Residential Treatment Center
1	Other

In 9 of the 25 cases reviewed the children/youths were placed in their home jurisdiction in settings that were in close proximity to their communities which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department's placement plan for all 25 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 6 of the 25 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the

review. 6 cases had 2 placement changes.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for the 6 cases.

The following levels of care were found for the 6 most recent placement changes:

- 3 case(s) were less restrictive placements
- 2 case(s) were more restrictive
- 1 case(s) had the same level of care

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes:

- 4 case(s) move did not occur for positive reason
- 2 case(s) transition towards a permanency goal

Provider specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 6 case(s) placement not due to provider issue

Child/youth specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 3 case(s) change unrelate to behavior of child
- 3 case(s) behavioral

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- Yes, for 6 cases

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for 6 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that 15 of the 25 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 22 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 19 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 18 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: The local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on

all health concerns noted by a physician for 24 children/youths requiring follow-ups.

- Completed Medical Records: The local departments reported that 18 of the 25 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 15 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 15 children/youths.
- Psychotropic Medication: 15 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for the 15 children/youths.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: 3 children/youths refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 18 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: 19 children/youths had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 19 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: 16 youths with mental health issues who were transitioning out of care had an identified plan to receive services in the adult mental health system. 1 child/youth with mental health issues have no identified plan.
- Substance Abuse: 1 child/youth had a substance abuse problem.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Yes, for the 1 child/youth.
- Behavioral Issues: 13 children/youths had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for all 13 children/youths.
- Standard Health Exams: 1 child/youth refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of 17 of the 25 children/youths had been met.

Education

19 of the 25 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. All 19 children/youths were in Pre-K through 12th grade. 3 children/youths graduated

high school. 2 children/youths refused to attend school and 1 child/youth was under the age of 5.

15 of the 19 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan and 16 had a copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was available for review for 14 of the 19 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade.

The local board agreed that 23 children/youths were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

➤ Employment (age 14 and older – 13 cases)

7 of the 13 youths were employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience.

7 youths employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience relevant to career field of choice.

4 youths were referred to summer or year-round training and/or employment opportunities.

The local board agreed that 5 youths were being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals.

➤ Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 13 cases)

9 youths received appropriate services to adequately prepare for independent living when they leave care.

2 youths were unable to receive appropriate services due to being medically fragile and 2 youths did not receive services due to mental health reasons.

6 youths had completed a life skills assessment.

6 youths were receiving required independent living skills.

The local board agreed that 7 youths were receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

- Housing (Transitioning Youth – 2 cases)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Housing had been specified for the 2 youths transitioning out of care.

Alternative housing options were provided to the 2 youths.

The local board agreed with the transitional housing plan for the 2 youths.

The local board agreed that 2 youths were being appropriately prepared to transition out of care.

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted. 2 children/youths with a plan of adoption, consented. 2 children/youths were under the age of consent.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (none)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (4 cases)

4 children/youths with a plan of adoption were placed in a pre-adoptive home. The family structure was comprised of a married couple for the 4 cases. The relationship to the pre-adoptive child/youth was a non-relative foster parent for 2 cases and foster parent – fictive kin for 2 cases.

Length of time in the pre-adoptive placement was as follows:

- 4 case(s) 21 months or more

The Board found the pre-adoptive placement appropriate for the 4 children/youths.

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (none)

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

9 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for 24 of the 25 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	14	10
No	11	15

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily	1	1
Once a week	4	2
More than once a week	2	1
Once a month	7	6
More than once a month		
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised	5	2
Unsupervised	9	8

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative	3	
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member	1	1
Foster Parent		
Others/not specified	1	1

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center	3	
Public Area		1
Child's/Youth's Placement	1	1
Others/not specified	1	

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	4	4
No	21	21

Siblings/Visits

The local board found that 16 children/youths had siblings in care. Efforts were made to place siblings together who did not reside together for 16 children/youths. 16 children/youths with siblings in care had visits with their siblings who did not reside with them. All 16 children/youths had visits with their siblings who were not in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for 23 of the 25 children/youths reviewed.

Prince George's County



Prince George's County had a total of 15 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Non Relative Adoption: 3 cases
- Relative Placement for Custody & Guardianship: 1 case
- Reunification: 4 cases
- AAPLA: 7 cases

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department's permanency plan for all 15 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court identified a concurrent permanency plan for 3 cases.

The local department was not implementing the concurrent permanency plan set by the local Juvenile Court for the 3 cases.

Category of APPLA plan (7 cases)

- Emancipation/Independence (7)

Permanent Connections (7 cases)

7 APPLA cases had a permanent connection identified and the local board agreed that the connections were appropriate for the 7 cases.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months			1	1		1
7 to 11 months						
1 to 2 years	3			2		3
2 to 3 years						2
3 years or more	1					1
Totals → 15	4		1	3		7

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 15 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department have signed service agreements for 6 cases. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for all 6 cases.

The local board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 6 signed cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement
2	None
1	Formal Kinship Care
2	Pre-Finalized Adoptive Home
4	Treatment Foster Care (Private)
2	Therapeutic Group Home
3	Independent Living Residential Program
1	Non-Relative

In 6 cases reviewed the child/youth was placed in their home jurisdiction in settings that were in close proximity to their community which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department’s placement plan for 13 of the 15 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 8 of the 15 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. 2 cases had 1 placement change, 2 cases had 2 changes, 1 case had 3 changes and 3 cases had 4 for more placement changes.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for all 8 cases.

The following levels of care were found for the 8 most recent placement changes:

- 5 case(s) were less restrictive placement
- 1 case(s) was more restrictive placement
- 2 case(s) were the same level of care

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes:

- 2 case(s) transition towards permanency goal
- 6 case(s) move did not occur for positive reason

Provider specific issues for the most recent placement changes included

- 1 case(s) allegation of provider abuse/neglect
- 7 case(s) placement not due to provider issue

Child/youth specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 3 case(s) change unrelated to behavior of child
- 4 case(s) behavioral
- 1 case(s) other

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- Yes, for 2 cases

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for 7 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that all 15 children/youths reviewed had developmental or specials needs.
- Current Physical: 12 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 14 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 14 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: The local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for 4 children/youths requiring follow-ups.
- Completed Medical Records: 11 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 5 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for 4 children/youths.
- Psychotropic Medication: 3 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for 2 children/youths.

- Refused Prescribed Medication: 1 child/youth refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 8 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: 8 children/youths had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for 7 of 8 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: Not applicable. None of the 8 children/youths with mental health issues were transitioning out of care.
- Substance Abuse: 7 children/youths had a substance abuse problem.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Yes, for 1 child/youth.
- Behavioral Issues: 6 children/youths had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for 5 of 6 children/youths.
- Standard Health Exams: None of the 15 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board agreed that health needs of 11 of 15 children/youths were met.

Education

9 of the 15 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. The 7 children/youths were in Pre-K through 12th grade and 2 children/youths were enrolled in college. 1 child/youth not enrolled in school graduated high school. 3 children/youths refused to attend school and 2 children/youths were under the age of 5.

3 of the 9 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan and 2 had a copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was available to review for the 4 children/youths in Pre-K through 12th grade.

The local board agreed that 9 children/youths were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

- Employment (age 14 and older – 12 cases)

3 of 12 youths were employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience.

3 youths employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience relevant to career field of choice.

2 youths over 20 years of age were employed and earning a living wage.

The local board agreed that 3 youths were being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals.

➤ Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 12 cases)

8 youths were receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

8 youths completed a life skills assessment.

8 youths were receiving required independent living skills.

The local board agreed that 8 of 12 youths were receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

➤ Housing (Transitioning Youth – 3 cases)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Housing had been specified for 3 youths transitioning out of care.

Alternative housing options were provided to the 3 youths.

The local board agreed with the transitional housing plan for all 3 youths.

The local board agreed that the 3 youth were being appropriately prepared to transition out of care.

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted. 2 children/youths were under the age of consent.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (1 case)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (2 cases)

The 2 children/youths with a plan of adoption were placed in a pre-adoptive home. The family structure was comprised of a married couple for the 2 cases. The relationship to the pre-adoptive child/youth was a non-relative foster parent in both cases.

Length of time in the pre-adoptive placements was as follows:

- 2 case(s) 21 months or more

A home study was completed and approved for the 2 cases.

The local board agreed that appropriate services and supports were in place for the pre-adoptive family to meet the identified needs of the 2 children/youths.

The local board found that the pre-adoptive placement was appropriate for the 2 cases.

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Not applicable. Child/youth already place in pre-adoptive home.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (2 cases)

Post-adoptive services were needed for 2 children/youths. The service needed was medical for all 2 cases.

The local board agreed that the post-adoptive services and resources were appropriate for the 2 cases.

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

4 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for 13 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	10	8
No	5	7

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week		
More than once a week	1	
Once a month	1	
More than once a month		
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented	7	8

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised	2	
Unsupervised	8	8

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative		
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other	2	

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home	7	7
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area		
Child's/Youth's Placement	1	1
Other	2	

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	7	7
No	3	1

Siblings/Visits

The local board found that all 5 children/youths had siblings in care. 4 children/youths had 1 sibling in care. Efforts were made to place siblings together who did not reside together for 4 children/youths. 4 children/youths with siblings in care had visits with their siblings who did not reside with them.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for 12 of the 15 children/youths reviewed.



St. Mary's County had a total of 5 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Non-Relative Adoption: 5 cases

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department's permanency plan for all 5 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court identified no concurrent permanency plan for any case.

The local department was not implementing the concurrent permanency plan set by the local Juvenile Court for any of the cases.

Category of APPLA plan (none)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – none)

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months						
7 to 11 months						
1 to 2 years				5		
2 to 3 years						
3 years or more						
Totals =>5				5		

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 5 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: All 5 cases were Post-TPR children/youths under the age of 14.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement
5	Pre-Finalized Adoptive Home

The 5 children/youths reviewed were not placed in their home jurisdiction in settings that were in close proximity to their communities which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department’s placement plan for all 5 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In none of the 5 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review.

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that none of the 5 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 5 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 5 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 5 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: It is unknown the local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by physician requiring follow-ups.
- Completed Medical Records: The local departments reported that all 5 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 1 child/youth was taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 1 child/youth.

- Psychotropic Medication: 1 child/youth was taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for the 1 child/youth.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: None of the children/youths refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: None of the children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: None of the children/youths had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for all 5 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: Not applicable. None of the 5 children/youths with mental health issues were transitioning out of care.
- Substance Abuse: None.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Not applicable.
- Behavioral Issues: 2 children/youths had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for 2 children/youths.
- Standard Health Exams: None of the 5 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of 5 children/youths had been met.

Education

4 of the 5 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. All 4 children/youths were in Pre-K thru 12th grade. The 1 youth not enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program was under the age of 5.

1 of the 4 children/youths in Pre-K thru 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan and none had a copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was not available for any of the 4 children/youths in Pre-K thru 12th grade.

The local board agreed that all 4 children/youths were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

- Employment (age 14 and older – none)
- Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – none)
- Housing (Transitioning Youth – none)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted. 3 children/youths consented, and 2 children/youths were under the age of consent.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (5 cases)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (5 cases)

All 5 children/youths with a plan of adoption was placed in a pre-adoptive home. The family structure was comprised of a married couple for the 5 cases and the relationship to the pre-adoptive child/youth was a non-relative foster parent.

Length of time in the pre-adoptive placement was as follows:

- 5 case(s) 12 to 15 months

A home study was not completed for any of the 5 cases.

The local board agreed that appropriate services and supports were in place for the pre-adoptive family to meet the identified needs of all 5 children/youths.

The local board found that the pre-adoptive placement was appropriate for the case.

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Not applicable.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (5 cases)

Post-adoptive services were needed for 5 children/youths. The services that were needed for the 5 children/youths was medical.

The board found the post-adoptive services were appropriate for the 5 cases.

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

1 case had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for all 5 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		
No	5	5

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week		
More than once a week		
Once a month		
More than once a month		
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised		
Unsupervised		

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative		
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other		

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area		
Child's/Youth's Placement		
Other		

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		
No		

Siblings/Visits

The local board found that 5 children/youths had siblings in care. It is unknown if efforts were made to place siblings together who did not reside together for 5 children/youths. All 5 children/youths with siblings in care had visits with their siblings who did not reside with them.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for all 5 of the children/youths reviewed.



Washington County had a total of 4 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Non-Relative Adoption: 1 case
- Reunification: 2 cases
- APPLA: 1 case

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department’s permanency plan for all 4 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court identified a concurrent permanency plan for 1 case.

The local department was not implementing the concurrent permanency plan set by the local Juvenile Court for the case.

Category of APPLA plan (1 case)

- Transition to an adult (1)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – 1 case)

The 1 APPLA cases had a permanent connection identified and the local board agreed that the connection was appropriate for the case.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months				1		1
7 to 11 months						
1 to 2 years	2					
2 to 3 years						
3 years or more						
Totals =>4	2			1		1

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 4 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department have a signed service agreement for 2 cases. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for 2 cases.

The board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 2 cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement
2	Treatment Foster Care (Private)
2	Therapeutic Group Home

All 4 children/youths were placed in their home jurisdiction in settings that were in close proximity to their communities which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department's placement plan for all 4 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 1 of the 4 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. 1 case had 2 placement changes.

It is unknown if the local department held a family involvement meeting for the 1 case.

The following levels of care were found for the 1 most recent placement changes:

- 1 case(s) was same level of care

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes:

- 1 case(s) was transitioning towards permanency goal

Child/youth specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 1 case(s) behavioral issues

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- Yes, for the 1 case

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for the 1 case

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that 2 of the 4 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 3 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 3 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 4 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: It is unknown if follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for 4 children/youths requiring follow-ups.
- Completed Medical Records: The local departments reported that 2 of the 4 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 1 child/youth was taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 1 child/youth.
- Psychotropic Medication: 2 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was monitored at least quarterly for the 2 children/youths.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: None of the 4 children/youths refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 2 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: 2 children/youths had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 2 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: None of the children/youths with mental health issues were transitioning out of care.
- Substance Abuse: None of the children/youths had substance abuse problem.
- Substance Abuse Not applicable.

Citizens Review Board for Children

1100 Eastern Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21221 • Phone: (410) 238-1320 • Fax: (410) 238-1395

email: crbc.mail.maryland.gov website: <http://dhr.maryland.gov/crbc>

- Behavioral Issues: 2 children/youths had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for the 2 children/youths.
- Standard Health Exams: None of the 4 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of all 4 children/youths had been met.

Education

2 of 4 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. The 2 children/youths were in Pre-K thru 12th grade. The 2 children/youths not enrolled in school or other vocational program were under the age of 5.

2 children/youths in Pre-K thru 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan and both had a copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child/youth's record.

Current progress report/report card was not available for review for 2 children/youths in Pre-K thru 12th grade.

The local board agreed that the 2 children/youths were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

- Employment (age 14 and older – 1 case)

1 youth was not able to participate in paid or unpaid work experience due to mental health issues.

The 1 youth was not referred to summer or year-round training and/or employment opportunities.

The local board agreed the 1 youth was not being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals.

- Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 1 case)

1 youth was not receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living due to mental health reasons.

1 youth had completed a life skills assessment for successful transition to adulthood and were

receiving required independent skills.

The local board agreed the 1 youth was not being appropriately prepared for independent living.

- Housing (Transitioning Youth – none)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted. It is unknown if the child consent to adoption.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (none)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (none)

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (none)

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

3 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for all 4 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	3	1
No	1	3

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week		
More than once a week		
Once a month		
More than once a month	3	1
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised	2	
Unsupervised	1	1

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative	2	
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other		

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		1
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area		
Child's/Youth's Placement		
Other	2	

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		
No	1	

Siblings/Visits

4 of the children/youths had siblings in care. All 4 children/youths had 1 sibling in care. It is unknown if efforts were made to place siblings together who did not reside together for the 4 children/youths. 2 of 4 children/youths have visits with siblings who are not in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for all 4 children/youths reviewed.

Wicomico County



Wicomico County had a total of 4 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Relative Placement for Custody & Guardianship: 1 case
- Reunification: 1 case
- AAPLA: 2 cases

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department’s permanency plan for all 4 cases reviewed.

The local juvenile court identified a concurrent permanency plan for 2 cases.

The local department was implementing the concurrent permanency plans set by the local juvenile court for both cases.

Category of APPLA plan (2 cases)

- Emancipation/Independence (2)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – 2 cases)

Both APPLA cases have a permanent connection identified and the board found the identified Permanent connection appropriate for the 2 cases.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months						
7 to 11 months						
1 to 2 years	1		1			2
2 to 3 years						
3 years or more						
Totals =>4	1		1			2

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for all 4 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had a signed service agreement for 1 of the 4 eligible cases. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for 2 cases.

The local board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 1 signed case.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
1	Formal Kinship Care
1	Treatment Foster Care (Private)
1	Residential Group Home
1	Other

None of the 4 children/youths reviewed were placed in their home jurisdiction in a setting that was in close proximity to their community which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department's placement plan for 3 of 4 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 2 of the 4 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. 2 cases had four or more placement changes.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for 1 case.

The following level of care was found for the 2 most recent placement changes:

- 1 case(s) same level of care
- 1 case(s) child on runaway

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes:

- 2 case(s) move did not occur for positive reason

Child/youth specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 1 case(s) behavioral issues
- 1 case(s) runaway

Provider specific issues for the most recent placement changes included

- 2 placement not due to provider issue

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- 2 cases(s) unknown

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for 1 case

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that 2 of 4 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 3 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 2 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 3 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: The local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for 2 children/youths requiring follow-ups.
- Completed Medical Records: The local department reported that 2 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 3 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 3 children/youths.
- Psychotropic Medication: 3 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for 2 of 3 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues: 4 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: Yes, for 4 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for 3 of 4 children/youths.

Citizens Review Board for Children

1100 Eastern Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21221 • Phone: (410) 238-1320 • Fax: (410) 238-1395

email: crbc.mail.maryland.gov website: <http://dhr.maryland.gov/crbc>

- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: 1 youth with mental health issues who was transitioning out of care had an identified plan to receive services in the adult mental health system.
- Substance Abuse: 1 child/youth had a substance abuse problem.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Yes, for the child/youth.
- Behavioral Issues: Yes, for 2 of 4 children/youths.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for 1 child/youth.

The local board found that the health needs of 2 of 4 children/youths had been met.

Education

2 of 4 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. The 2 children/youths were in Pre-K thru 12th grade. 1 child/youth not enrolled in school or other vocational program graduated high school. 1 child/youth refused to attend school.

1 of the 2 children/youths in Pre-K thru 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan. 1 child/youth did not have a copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was available for review for 2 children/youths in Pre-K thru 12th grade.

The local board agreed that 1 child/youth was being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

➤ Employment (age 14 and older – 4 cases)

2 of 4 youths were employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience.

1 youth was participating in paid or unpaid work experience relevant to career field of choice.

1 youth was 20 years old and was earning a living wage.

The local board agreed that 2 youths were being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals.

➤ Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 4 cases)

The local board agreed that 2 youths were receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

2 youths were receiving required independent living skills.

The local board agreed that 1 youth was receiving appropriate living skills.

- Housing (Transitioning Youth – 1 case)
(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Housing had been specified for the youth transitioning out of care.

The 1 youth transitioning out of care was provided with information on alternative housing options.

The local board agreed that the youth was being appropriately prepared to transition out of care.

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (none)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (none)

Not applicable.

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Not applicable.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (none)

Not applicable.

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

3 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for all 4 cases.
Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	1	
No	3	4

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week		
More than once a week		
Once a month		
More than once a month	1	
Quarterly		
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised	1	
Unsupervised		

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative	1	
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent		
Other		

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home		
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area	1	
Child's/Youth's Placement		
Other		

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes		
No	1	




Siblings/Visits

None of the 4 children/youths had siblings in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for all 4 children/youths reviewed.

Baltimore City



Baltimore City had a total of 43 cases that were reviewed with the following permanency plans:

- Non Relative Adoption: 5 cases
- Relative Placement for Custody & Guardianship: 3 cases
- Non Relative Custody & Guardianship: 4 cases
- Reunification: 16 cases
- AAPLA: 13 cases
- Relative Placement for Adoption: 2 cases

Permanency

The local board agreed with the department's permanency plan for 28 of the 43 cases reviewed.

The local Juvenile Court identified a concurrent permanency plan for 12 cases.

The local department was implementing the concurrent permanency plans set by the local Juvenile Court for 12 cases.

Category of APPLA plan (13 cases)

- Emancipation/Independence (10)
- Transition to an adult supportive living arrangement (3)

Permanent Connections (APPLA – 13 cases)

10 of the 13 APPLA cases had a permanent connection identified and the local board agreed that the connections were appropriate for the 10 cases.

Length of time Child/Youth had a Permanency Plan

LENGTH	Reunif	Relative for Adoption	Relative for C & G	Non-Rel Adoption	Non-Rel Cust/Guad	APPLA
0 to 6 months				3	2	4
7 to 11 months	4			1		1
1 to 2 years	3			1	1	5
2 to 3 years	5	1	3		1	2
3 years or more	4	1				1
Totals →43	16	2	3	5	4	13

Case Planning

Family Involvement Meetings (prior to entry): The local department held family involvement meetings prior to entry for 21 of the 43 cases reviewed.

Service Agreements: The local department had a signed service agreement for 11 cases. Efforts to involve the families in the service agreement process were made for 19 cases.

The local board agreed that the service agreements were appropriate for the 8 signed cases.

Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)

Number of Cases	Placement/Living Arrangement (LA)
4	None
9	Formal Kinship Care
2	Pre-Finalized Adoptive Home
2	Regular Foster Care
3	Restrictive Foster Care (Relative)
11	Treatment Foster Care (Private)
3	Residential Group Home
3	Therapeutic Group Home
4	Independent Living Residential Program
1	Relative
1	Other

In 19 of the 43 cases reviewed the children/youths were placed in their home jurisdiction in settings that were in close proximity to their communities which allowed for the continuity of services.

The local board agreed with the department's placement plan for 39 of the 43 cases reviewed.

Placement Stability

In 17 of the 43 cases reviewed there was a placement change within the 12 months prior to the review. 7 cases had 1 placement change, 7 cases had 2 changes, 2 cases had 3 changes and 1 case had 4 or more placement changes.

The local department held a family involvement meeting for the placement changes for 10 of the 17 cases.

The following levels of care were found for the 17 most recent placement changes:

- 4 case(s) were less restrictive placements
- 4 case(s) were more restrictive placements
- 7 case(s) had the same level of care
- 2 cases(s) child on runaway

The primary reason for the most recent placement changes:

- 6 case(s) were transitioning towards permanency goal
- 11 cases(s) move did not occur for positive reason

Provider specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 1 case(s) provider request
- 2 case(s) allegation of provider abuse/neglect
- 1 case(s) founded incident of provider abuse/neglect
- 12 case(s) placement not due to provider issue
- 1 case(s) unknown

Child/youth specific issues for the most recent placement changes included:

- 9 case(s) change unrelated to behavior of child
- 2 case(s) behavior issues
- 1 case(s) delinquent behavior
- 2 case(s) runaway
- 2 case(s) child request removal
- 1 case(s) unknown

Were adequate services provided to support the provider in the previous placements?

- Yes, for 13 of the 17 cases

Current placement match between child's needs and the provider's ability to meet those needs:

- Yes, for 16 of the 17 cases

Health/Mental Health

- Developmental/Special Needs: The local department reported that 13 of the 43 children/youths reviewed had developmental or special needs.
- Current Physical: 19 children/youths had a current physical exam.
- Current Vision: 16 children/youths had a current vision exam.
- Current Dental: 18 children/youths had a current dental exam.
- Follow-up Health Concerns: The local department ensured that appropriate follow-ups occurred on all health concerns noted by a physician for 10 children/youths requiring follow-ups.
- Completed Medical Records: The local department reported that 6 of the 43 children/youths had completed medical records in their case files.
- Prescription Medication: 10 children/youths were taking prescription medication.
- Prescription Medication Monitored: Prescription medication was being monitored regularly for the 10 children/youths.
- Psychotropic Medication: 10 children/youths were taking psychotropic medication.
- Psychotropic Medication Monitored: Psychotropic medication was being monitored at least quarterly for the 9 children/youths.
- Refused Prescribed Medication: Yes. 7 children/youths refused to take prescribed medication.
- Mental Health Issues: 33 children/youths had mental health issues.
- Mental Health Diagnosis: 33 children/youths had a mental health diagnosis.
- Mental Health Issues Addressed: Yes, for 21 children/youths.
- Mental Health Issues/Transitioning/Services: 8 youths with mental health issues who were transitioning out of care had an identified plan to receive services in the adult mental health system. 2 youths had no identified plan.

- Substance Abuse: 7 children/youths had a substance abuse problem.
- Substance Abuse Addressed: Yes, for 3 of the 7 children/youths.
- Behavioral Issues: 15 children/youths had behavioral issues.
- Behavioral Issues Addressed: Yes, for 7 of the 15 children/youths.
- Standard Health Exams: 4 children/youths refused to comply with standard health exams.

The local board found that the health needs of 11 of the 43 children/youths had been met.

Education

32 of the 43 children/youths reviewed were enrolled in school or another educational/vocational program. 32 of the 43 children/youths were in Pre-K thru 12th grade. 2 youths graduated high school and 3 children/youths refused to attend school. 4 children/youth were under the age of 5. 2 youths were not enrolled for unknown reasons.

9 of the 32 children/youths in Pre-K thru 12th grade had a 504 or IEP plan and 6 had a copy of the 504/IEP plan in the child/youth's record.

A current progress report/report card was available for review for 19 of the 32 children/youths in Pre-K thru 12th grade.

The local board agreed that 20 children/youths were being appropriately prepared to meet educational goals.

Ready By 21

- Employment (age 14 and older – 27 cases)

8 of the 27 youths were employed or participating in paid or unpaid work experience.

1 youth was unable to participate due to mental health reasons and 1 youth was in a juvenile justice facility.

16 youths were referred to summer or year-round training and/or employment opportunities.

The local board agreed that 11 youths were being appropriately prepared to meet employment goals.

➤ Independent Living Services (age 14 and older – 27 cases)

12 youths were receiving appropriate services to adequately prepare for independent living when they leave out of home care.

1 youth was not receiving appropriate services due to mental health reasons and 1 youth was in a juvenile justice facility.

12 youths had completed a life skills assessment.

12 youths were receiving required independent living skills.

The local board agreed that 13 youths were receiving appropriate services to prepare for independent living.

➤ Housing (Transitioning Youth – 3 cases)

(Age 20 and/or planning to discharge within a year from the review)

Housing had been specified for 2 of 3 youths transitioning out of care.

Alternative housing options were provided for 2 youths.

The local board agreed with the transitional housing plan for 2 youths.

The local board agreed that 2 of 3 youths were being appropriately prepared to transition out of care.

Child's Consent to Adoption

The age of consent for adoption in the State of Maryland is 10. Children 10 and older must consent to be adopted. 1 child/youth with a plan of adoption, consented. 5 children/youths were under the age of consent and 1 child/youth did not want to be adopted.

Adoptive Placement Services and Resources (1 case)

Pre-Adoptive Placement (7 cases)

7 children/youths with a plan of adoption were placed in a pre-adoptive home. The pre-adoptive family structure was comprised of a married couple for 6 cases and a single female for 1 case. The relationship to the pre-adoptive child/youth was foster parent relative for 3 cases and foster parent non-relative for 4 cases.

Length of time in the pre-adoptive placement was as follows:

- 7 case(s) 21 months or more

A home study was completed for all 7 placements and approved.

The local board agreed that appropriate services and support were in place for all 7 placements for pre-adoptive family to meet the identified needs of the children/youths.

The local board agreed that the pre-adoptive placement was appropriate for all 7 cases.

Adoptive Recruitment (none)

Not applicable. Child/youth already placed in pre-adoptive home.

Post-Adoptive Services and Resources (none)

Miscellaneous Findings

Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

2 of 43 cases had a CASA.

Risk and Safety

The local board agreed that safety and risk protocols were followed for 41 of the 43 cases.

Child Visits with Parents, Relatives and Siblings

Child Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	17	9
No	26	34

Frequency of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Daily		
Once a week	7	
More than once a week		
Once a month		3
More than once a month	7	6
Quarterly	3	
Yes, but undocumented		

Supervision of Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
Supervised	8	2
Unsupervised	9	7

Who Supervises Visits	With Parents	With Relatives
LDSS Agency Representative	4	
Other Agency Representative		
Biological Family Member		
Foster Parent	3	2
Other/not indicated	1	

Where do Visits Occur?	With Parents	With Relatives
Parent/Relative Home	3	6
LDSS Visitation Center		
Public Area	6	1
Child's/Youth's Placement	3	2
Other	5	

Overnight Stays	With Parents	With Relatives
Yes	2	2
No	23	20

Siblings/Visits

The local board found that 17 of the 43 children/youths had siblings in care. Efforts were made to place siblings together who did not reside together for 9 children/youths. 5 children/youths with siblings in care had visits with their siblings who did not reside with them. 14 children/youths had visits with their siblings who were not in care.

Summary

Based on the findings of the review the local board determined that the local Department of Social Services made adequate progress towards a permanent placement (COMAR – 07.01.06.05 (F)) for 30 of the 43 children/youths reviewed.

Required Supporting Documentation for CRBC Reviews

The following are reminders of the materials required in accordance with the work plan agreement created between the Department of Human Resources (DHR), Social Services Administration and the Citizens Review Board for Children.

- Each (LDSS) is required to have access at the time of the review to the child's complete case records and/or records containing requested supportive documentation to present at all CRBC case reviews.
- Each (LDSS) should continue supplying CRBC with the most recent and current contact information including emails for all interested parties, including professionals and family members.

Recommendations to All Local Departments of Social Services (LDSS)

- Each (LDSS) should encourage the attendance of children and youth who are 10 years of age and older to attend his/her scheduled CRBC case review.
- Each (LDSS) should encourage foster parent attendance at scheduled CRBC case reviews.
- Each (LDSS) should improve their efforts with documenting concurrent permanency plans.
- Each (LDSS) should improve their efforts with getting parents to sign service agreements for those children/youths with a permanency plan of reunification.
- Each (LDSS) is required to include the paternal family members as possible resources for all children/youths who are in out-of-home-placement care.

Independent Living

- Each (LDSS) is required to improve their efforts with preparing youths that have a plan of APPLA to meet their employment goals.

Permanent Connections

- Each (LDSS) is encouraged to improve their efforts with identifying permanent connections for those youths with a plan of APPLA.

Adoption

- Each (LDSS) should ensure that age-appropriate children/youths with a permanency plan of adoption are linked with adoption counseling services.

2nd Quarter 2024 CRBC Metrics

Recommendation Reports to DSS - Number Sent:	150
Recommendation Reports to DSS - Number Sent on Time:	150
Recommendation Reports to DSS - Percentage Sent on Time:	100%
Recommendation Reports from DSS - Number of Responses Received: ¹	118
Recommendation Reports from DSS - Percentage of DSS Responses:	79%
Recommendation Reports from DSS - Number Received on Time:	95
Recommendation Reports from DSS - Percentage Received on Time	81%
Number of Boards Held	40
Recommendation Reports - Number of DSS Agreement:	117
Recommendation Reports - Percentage of DSS Agreement:	99%
Recommendation Reports - Number of DSS Disagreement:	1
Recommendation Reports - Percentage of DSS Disagreement:	1%
Recommendation Reports - Number of Blank/Unanswered: ²	0
Recommendation Reports - Percentage of Blank/Unanswered:	0%
Percentage of REUNIFICATION Children Reviewed for the Period:	34%
Percentage of RELATIVE PLACEMENT - Adoption Children Reviewed:	1%
Percentage of RELATIVE PLACEMENT - C & G Children Reviewed:	10%
Percentage of ADOPTION Children Reviewed for the Period:	19%
Percentage of CUSTODY/GUARDIANSHIP Children Reviewed for the Period:	5%
Percentage of APPLA Children Reviewed for the Period:	31%

¹ The local Department of Social Services is required by COMAR 07.01.06.06 (H) to respond to the local out-of-home placement (CRBC) review board's recommendation(s) within 10 days of receipt of the report.

² The number of recommendation report responses received from the local Department of Social Services that did not indicate acceptance or non-acceptance of the local (CRBC) board's recommendation.

Citizens Review Board for Children

1100 Eastern Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21221 • Phone: (410) 238-1320 • Fax: (410) 238-1395

email: crbc.mail.maryland.gov website: <http://dhr.maryland.gov/crbc>



CRBC
Citizens Review Board for Children
The State Board

Nettie Anderson-Burrs (Chair)
Circuit 4: Representing Allegany, Garrett, and Washington Counties

Delores Alexander (Vice Chair)
Circuit 3: Representing Baltimore and Harford Counties

Dr. Theresa Stafford
Circuit 1: Representing Dorchester, Somerset, Wicomico, and Worcester Counties

Vacant
Circuit 2: Representing Caroline, Cecil, Kent, Queen Anne's, and Talbot Counties

Vacant
Circuit 5: Representing Anne Arundel, Carroll, and Howard Counties

Sandra "Kay" Farley
Circuit 6: Representing Frederick and Montgomery Counties

Davina Richardson
Circuit 7: Representing Calvert, Charles, Prince George's, and St. Mary's Counties

Beatrice Lee
Circuit 8: Representing Baltimore City

Rita Jones
Circuit 8: Representing Baltimore City

Benia Richardson
Circuit 8: Representing Baltimore City



CRBC Staff

Denise Wheeler
Administrator

Crystal Young, MSW
Assistant Administrator

Hassan Aslam
Information Technology Officer

Hope Smith
IT Functional Analyst

Michele Foster, MSW
Child Welfare Specialist

Marlo Palmer-Dixon, M.P.A
Child Welfare Specialist

Nikia Greene
Child Welfare Specialist

Sandy Colea, CVA
Volunteer Activities Coordinator Supervisor

Lakira Whitaker
Volunteer Activities Coordinator II

Cindy Hunter-Gray
Lead Secretary

Agnes Marie Smith
Executive Assistant

LeShae Harris
Office Clerk II

References

Citizens Review Board for Children (2013). Policy and Procedures Manual. Baltimore, MD: Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).

COMAR 07.01.06.05. Procedures for Conducting the Citizen Review of Out-of-Home Placement. Title 07 Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).

COMAR 07.02.11.01. Purpose of Out-of-Home Placement Program. Title 07 Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).

COMAR 07.02.11.03. Out of Home Placement: Definitions. Title 07 Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).

COMAR 07.02.11.08. Out of Home Placement: Medical Care. Title 07 Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).

COMAR 07.02.12.04. Post Adoption Services. Title 07 Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources),

Maryland Code, Family Law § 5-539

Maryland Code, Family Law § 5-545

Maryland Department of Human Resources (FY2015). State Stats. Place Matters Statewide Summary Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).

Social Services Administration (2016). Out of Home Placement Services – Ready By 21 Manual (FY2017 Edition). Baltimore, MD: Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).

Social Services Administration cw#16-10 (September 15th 2015). Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA). Baltimore, MD: Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).

Social Services Administration cw#14-17 (April 15, 2014). Oversight and Monitoring of Health Care Services. Baltimore, MD: Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).

Social Services Administration (2010). Out of Home Placement Program Manual. Baltimore, MD: Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).

Social Services Administration cw#10-08 (August 14, 2009). Family Involvement Meetings (FIM). Baltimore, MD: Department of Human Services (formerly Dept. of Human Resources).