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INTRODUCTION

Each member of the Maryland Commission for Women (MCW) could easily identify problems she knows are important to women. Our news media report daily on issues women face. Local and national organizations release reports describing a wide range of concerns that women must deal with in 2018. Scholars write about gender differences and discrimination in education, medical care, and employment, to name just a few areas.

Wanting to be responsive to the specific concerns and needs of women in Maryland, the MCW decided to ask women directly about the challenges they encounter in their everyday lives. Through a series of public forums, Commissioners listened as over 700 women spoke out, often passionately, about issues that concerned them. And for women who could not attend one of these sessions, an online survey recorded the responses of close to another 700 women.

This report shares what we heard.

In October 2015, the MCW initiated the Listening Tour Project with the following goals:

- Assess the needs of women across Maryland’s diverse regions
- Identify and define challenges women in the state are facing
- Strengthen collaboration with and among local women’s groups, service providers and county Commissions for Women
- Develop an action plan to address identified issues

The MCW spent close to a year developing the project and the first forum was held on July 13, 2016. Between that date and November 6, 2017, a total of 19 public forums were held in all of Maryland’s five regions. The Commissioners traveled more than 3,000 miles across the state – from the western panhandle to the eastern shore – asking Maryland women to “talk to us.”

County Commissions for Women and other organizations in each region were invited to partner with the MCW in organizing the listening sessions. At each of these two-hour forums, women were invited to discuss the challenges they faced in their everyday lives. Participants were asked to prioritize the issues raised and to develop recommendations to resolve these issues. Participants included residents of the area, service providers, legislators and policy-makers, advocates and representatives of community organizations.¹

To ensure that women who were not able to attend one of the forums could voice their concerns, the MCW developed an online survey that was available in four languages: English, Spanish, Korean and Chinese. The survey asked women to select from a list of pre-defined issues those they believed affected women in their community as well as issues that affected them personally. In addition, the survey included a number of background questions such as education and income levels, age, race, marital status, and county of residence, among others.
PART I: THE LISTENING TOUR AND SURVEY

Slightly over 1,400 individuals voiced their opinions on issues facing women in Maryland. The forums attracted 723 individuals and another 684 completed online surveys.

We did not ask women attending the forums to provide information about their backgrounds but we could see that the forum participants varied in age and race/ethnicity. From comments made, we also know that some were married, some were divorced and some were single; some participants were employed and others were not.

We know with certainty, however, that the women's voices at the forums represent all five regions of the state of Maryland. Table 1 below provides the number of participants by region as well as those participating in two special interest forums.

Table 1
Voices of Maryland Women Listening Tour Participants by Region, Interest Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Number of Forum Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital (Frederick, Montgomery, Prince George’s)</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Baltimore City, Carroll, Harford, Howard)</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern (Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne’s, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, Worcester)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern (Calvert, Charles, St. Mary’s)</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western (Allegany, Garrett, Washington)</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special Interest Forums

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Number of Forum Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Correctional Institute for Women</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girl Scouts</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>723</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We know more specifically who our survey participants are, given that they responded to questions about their backgrounds. Like our forum participants, survey respondents live across the state of Maryland (see Table 2).

Table 2
Survey Respondents by Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Number of Forum Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital (Frederick, Montgomery, Prince George’s)</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Baltimore City, Carroll, Harford, Howard)</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern (Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne’s, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, Worcester)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern (Calvert, Charles, St. Mary’s)</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western (Allegany, Garrett, Washington)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information not provided</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>684</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Women could respond to the survey in one of four different languages:

- 606 responded in English
- 38 responded in Spanish
- 23 responded in Korean
- 16 responded in Chinese

We also know that survey respondents varied by age:

- 25 percent were 35 or younger
- 49 percent were between the ages of 36 and 55
- 26 percent were over age 56

Survey respondents represented different racial groups:

- 70 percent were White or Caucasian
- 13 percent were Black or African American
- 9 percent were Asian
- 7 percent were Hispanic or Latina

The employment status of those completing the survey varied:

- 58 percent were employed full time
- 13 percent were self employed
- 10 percent were retire

The respondents were fairly well educated:

- 47 percent had an advanced degree (Master’s, J.D., Ph.D., etc.)
- One third of all respondents (33 percent) had a Bachelor’s degree
- Only 5.5 percent had a high school diploma or less

The annual household income of over half the respondents exceeded $100,000:

- 11 percent had household incomes over $200,000
- 39 percent had household incomes between $101,000 and $200,000
- 18 percent were $55,000 and under

We cannot claim that either our forum participants or survey respondents are a statistically representative sample but we are reassured that the 1,400+ voices that we heard from represent a broad spectrum of Maryland women.
The Issues

Women attending the forums were asked to talk about the challenges they faced in their everyday lives. Women filling out the online survey selected from a group of pre-defined issues both those that affected women in the area in which they live and those that affected them personally. Survey respondents could also write in issues that were not included on the survey list. Women expressed concerns over a wide range of issues, all of which we believe are important and deserve attention. The five issues, however, that received the most mentions across the forums and surveys include:

1. Domestic violence/sexual assault
2. Paid time off from work at time of birth or adoption, or the need for extended leave for medical reasons
3. Drug abuse or addiction problems
4. Number of women in leadership positions (government, corporate, education, etc.)
5. Access to quality, affordable child care

Survey responses provide an opportunity to examine the importance of these issues to different groups of women. Below are a few highlights on each of the issues:

Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault

- Over half of Black or African American and Hispanic women noted this issue, compared to 40 percent of White and 29 percent of Asian women.

- The higher the education level, the less likely women were to believe that domestic violence was an important issue.
  - 38 percent of women with advanced degrees indicated domestic violence to be a problem.
  - 57 percent of women with a high school diploma noted this issue.

“Prevention of domestic violence, assault, and discriminatory practices must focus on the behavior of boys and men. These issues should be addressed in and out of schools and workplaces.”

A Survey Respondent
Paid Time Off for Extended Parental and Medical Leave

- Younger women were far more likely to consider these leave issues to be important: 69 percent of women 35 and younger noted this issue while only 27 percent of women 66 or older considered paid time off important.

- Race/ethnic differences are also notable. The percentages of women from different racial groups checking this issue are:
  - Asian – 19 percent
  - Black or African American – 31 percent
  - Hispanic or Latina – 37 percent
  - White or Caucasian – 45 percent

- The higher the education level, the more likely women were to mention paid time off/extended leave.
  - 42 percent of women with an Associate’s, Bachelor’s or advanced degree indicated this issue to be important.
  - 27 percent of women with a high school diploma or less thought it important.

Women and Drug Abuse or Addiction Problems

- Women 65 or older were most likely to mention drug abuse or addiction problems (36 percent). Only 24 percent of women in the 18 to 35 age category noted this as an issue.

- Almost a third of White or Caucasian women (31 percent) indicated drug abuse to be a problem compared to 22 percent of Black or African American respondents, 20 percent of Hispanic or Latina women, and only 11 percent of Asian women.

- The higher the education level, the less likely were women to note drug abuse or addiction as a problem. A quarter (25 percent) of women with advanced degrees indicated this as an issue compared to 38 percent of women with less than a high school diploma.

“I just returned to work eight weeks after an emergency c-section, with a child who only just learned how to nurse. Neither of us was ready for me to be back. However, all of my paid time off had run out … and I couldn’t afford to stay home unpaid.”

A Survey Respondent

“Needs to be more support for parents of children with a drug addiction. Very hard to find affordable, good treatment.”

A Survey Respondent
Women in Leadership Positions

- Over half of White or Caucasian women (52 percent) believed that too few women in leadership positions is an important issue, while the other three racial groups noted this around 43 percent of the time.

- Household income and education were strongly related to whether women noted this issue to be important. The higher the income and education levels, the more likely women were to mention this issue.

"[My county] needs to promote and recognize its many strong female leaders/positive role models more often and offer more leadership training to school age girls and college women to develop new generations of capable women."

A Survey Respondent

Access to Affordable, Quality Child Care

- Not surprisingly, younger women were more likely to indicate affordable child care was a problem. Whereas 60 percent of women under the age of 35 specified this issue to be important, between 41 and 47 percent of women in the other age groups noted it.

- White or Caucasian women were more likely than women from the other racial groups to indicate child care issues as a problem.

- Higher income groups were somewhat more likely than lower income groups to mention affordable child care as a problem.

- Education level once again proved to be a strong indicator of whether or not women believed this issue to be important.
  - Advanced Degree – 53 percent
  - Associate or Bachelor’s Degree – 49 percent
  - Some college credits – 34 percent
  - High School or less – 27 percent

“Affordable childcare has been a hot topic for decades at this point. When one is “affordable” it is not quality. I am not willing to sacrifice the care of my children for cost savings. Unfortunately, not everyone has the ability to do this!"

A Survey Respondent
While these may be the five issues that received the most mentions across both the forums and the survey, and while our survey results show that women vary in the importance they place on each of these issues, numerous other concerns also rose to the surface.

Survey respondents noted in substantial numbers issues related to health care (access to both affordable health insurance and healthcare facilities or services), balancing the demands of work and family, and equal pay. And affordable housing for people of limited income was in the top 10 for both survey and forum participants.

The forums also provided an opportunity to focus on particular subpopulations. The 18 women participating in our forum held in the Maryland Correctional Institution for Women, for example, focused on issues related to re-entry, transitional and rehabilitation services as well as the need to address domestic violence. This particular forum was co-sponsored with Out For Justice, Inc., an “ex-offender, member-led organization that promotes . . . reform of policies that adversely affect the ex-offender re-entering into society successfully.”

A forum organized with the Girl Scouts of Central Maryland attracted young women college students, teen girls, parents, scout leaders, educators and representatives of both the Girl Scouts and McDaniel College, where the event was held. Over 20 different challenges were noted but the top five included the need for more women in elected and appointed office, young women’s mental health issues (including eating disorders, anxiety, depression and suicide), the stigma associated with women’s menstruation, gender-based inequalities in academics and STEM (science, technology, engineering and math) and the intersectionality of sexism and racism.

Participants in the forum held in conjunction with the Women of the World festival at Notre Dame of Maryland University also noted an educational issue as the top concern: weaknesses in the educational system that impact girls disparately, including lack of STEM preparation for girls.

Table 3 lists the top issue from each of the forums, further illustrating the variety of issues that women believe to be important.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forum Location</th>
<th>Top Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allegany County</td>
<td>Impact of the “Appalachian culture,” specifically on women and girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Arundel County</td>
<td>The “opioid epidemic”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore City</td>
<td><em>(Four issues tied for first place)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need for transitional services for girls “aging out” of foster care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need for education on independent living skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need for more transparency in the state legislative process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Too few women in elected and appointed office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore County</td>
<td>Harassment and discrimination against women wearing hijabs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calvert County</td>
<td>Lack of women, including minority women, in elected office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles County</td>
<td>Need for better paying jobs in the county, jobs that pay a living wage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick County</td>
<td>Lack of public knowledge of and access to existing services and resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrett County</td>
<td>Poverty, especially for single mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girl Scouts of Central Maryland</td>
<td>Need for more women in elected &amp; appointed office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harford County</td>
<td>Sexual assault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard County</td>
<td>The compounding effects of sexism and racism, including the portrayal of women in the media and negative views of women and girls in leadership positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Correctional Institution for Women</td>
<td>Need for a pre-release/work-release facility and services for women, separate from the prison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Shore and Upper Eastern Shore</td>
<td>Lack of public transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>Guns in the hands of domestic violence perpetrators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George’s County</td>
<td>Need for affordable and accessible quality child care – both after school and pre-kindergarten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Mary’s County</td>
<td>Domestic violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County</td>
<td>Child support enforcement inconsistencies across jurisdictions, insensitive and unresponsive staff, lack of enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women of the World (WOW) Conference at Notre Dame of Maryland University, Baltimore City</td>
<td>Weaknesses in the educational system that impact girls disparately, including lack of STEM preparation for girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester County</td>
<td>Affordable, adequate housing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART II: NEXT STEPS

Many voices raised many issues and the Maryland Commission for Women has listened. The following sections describe some of what we know about each of the “top five issues for women in our state. Since this project asked Maryland women to speak for themselves, this report does not include recommendations from the MCW on each issue. Instead, it lists some of the steps the respondents and participants said they thought would help improve the situation.

Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault

The women participating in the Listening Tour identified violence against women, specifically domestic violence and sexual assault, among their top five issues. It is no wonder, considering the statistics on these crimes in our state.

The Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence tells us that 46 people lost their lives to domestic violence from July 2016 to June 2017, down from 55 in the previous year, but up from 42 in the year preceding that. There were 1,784 temporary protective orders and 1,308 final protective orders granted in Fiscal Year 2016 and there were 15,301 domestic violence-related crimes reported in Maryland in Fiscal Year 2015.³

According to the Maryland Coalition Against Sexual Assault, 19 percent of the adult women living in this state have experienced rape at some point in their lifetime, and about 44 percent report having experienced other forms of sexual assault in their lifetime.⁴

What the participants in the Listening Tour told us is needed:

- Increase funding for services, including preventive services, and support more options for victims, including the choice to leave the area
- Develop more affordable transitional housing
- Ensure that culturally relevant services are available to meet the needs of our diverse population
- Provide training for service providers that includes the dual needs of victims of both trauma and addictions
- Enforce existing laws
- Provide training for law enforcement and prosecutors to eliminate further victimization of complainants
- Establish special victims units in every prosecutor’s office
- Coordinate law enforcement databases
- Teach healthy relationships and conflict resolution in schools

“As it relates to domestic violence and human trafficking, there needs to be greater awareness that this is happening and programs in place to identify, rescue and rehabilitate these survivors.”

A Survey Respondent
Paid Time Off for Extended Parental and Medical Leave

The federal Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) requires public and private employers with 50 or more employees to provide at least 12 weeks of unpaid leave for the birth and care of a newborn child, placement of a foster or adopted child, care for immediate family members with serious health conditions, or when the employee's own serious health condition prevents work. Eligible employees must have worked for the employer for at least one year and at least 1,250 hours during the past 12 months.5

The Maryland Parental Leave Act provides that businesses with 15 to 49 workers must provide their employees with at least six weeks of unpaid parental leave in a 12-month period for the birth, adoption, or foster placement of a child. The employer must maintain existing group health care coverage but may be able to require repayment of the premium if the employee does not return to work. Eligibility for this coverage is similar to the FMLA.6

The Maryland Flexible Leave Act provides that private-sector employers with 15 or more employees and who provide paid leave to employees must permit employees to use earned paid leave to care for sick members of their immediate families (child, spouse or parent).7

The Maryland Healthy Working Families Act requires that employers with 15 or more workers provide paid sick and safe leave, and employers with fewer workers must allow their workers to earn unpaid sick leave.8

SB0859/HB0775 was passed and signed by the Governor. This bill, which takes effect October 1, 2018, provides employees in the executive branch of state government to up to 60 days (12 weeks) of paid parental leave to care for a child immediately following birth or adoption.9

Participants at the Voices of Maryland Women Listening Tour, especially in the more rural jurisdictions, told us their communities need economic development that encourages businesses with "woman-friendly" jobs, offering good benefits, including paid time off.

Clearly more consideration of how to best address this issue is needed, keeping in mind the needs of both the employers and the employees.

What the participants in the Listening Tour told us is needed:

- Recruit industries that offer "woman-friendly" jobs, including paid family and medical leave
- Focus economic development on jobs with living wages, benefits and retirement plans
- Utilize the anchor institutions (university, college, hospital) to increase the number of jobs with benefits and a living wage

“We have no state laws guaranteeing PAID time off for giving birth so we have to save sick and annual leave well in advance of getting pregnant.”

A Survey Respondent

“Our country does not offer paid maternity/paternity leave. Many European countries not only have paid leave but it is for an extended amount of time, sometimes up to 18 months. When a country shows it values pregnant and birthing mothers it truly values families.”

A Survey Respondent

“I think maternity leave should be extended. Too many women don’t get it at all, or it’s just not long enough. Babies need their moms and moms need their babies. Having to go back to work as early as six weeks or even 12 weeks is awful for the whole health of the family.”

A Survey Respondent
Women and Drug Abuse or Addiction Problems

The women who participated in the Voices of Maryland Women Listening Tour identified drug abuse and addictions as one of their top five issues, and with good reason.

In February 2017, Governor Larry Hogan declared a state of emergency in response to the rapidly escalating opioid crisis in Maryland. Drug overdoses, mostly from opioids, kill far more Marylanders than car crashes and homicides. Eighty-nine percent of all intoxication deaths that occurred in Maryland in 2016 were opioid-related. Opioid-related deaths increased by 70 percent between 2015 and 2016, and have nearly quadrupled since 2010. There were 1,468 fatal drug overdoses in our state from January to September 2016, exceeding the 1,259 deaths that occurred in all of 2015.10

Among women, the number of opioid-related deaths has quintupled since 2000. According to the Kaiser Family Foundation, opioid overdose deaths by Maryland women increased from 90 in 1999 to 506 in 2016.11

The National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) tells us that women tend to use substances differently than men, sometimes requiring smaller amounts of drugs for shorter amounts of time to become dependent. In addition, women who are victims of domestic violence are at increased risk of substance use. Additionally, divorce, loss of child custody, or the death of a partner or child can trigger women's substance use or other mental health disorders.12

According to the U.S. Office on Women’s Health, the pathway to addiction in women is complicated by family, pregnancy, hormones and demographics. Treatment modalities are also complex and different from that of men.13

Researchers at Harvard University tell us that “traditional addiction treatment programs were developed based on research in men.”14 Women speaking at the public forums in the Listening Tour told us that there are too few addiction rehabilitation services that are designed to meet women’s needs. The lack of access to residential rehabilitation services that would permit a mother to keep her children with her presents a serious obstacle for women needing help. In fact, fear of losing their children may prevent many female substance abusers from seeking any kind of help.

In January, 2018, Governor Larry Hogan and Lt. Governor Boyd Rutherford unveiled a series of executive actions and proposed legislation to continue the administration's fight against the heroin and opioid crisis. The governor also authorized the Attorney General to file suit against select opioid manufacturers and distributors on the grounds that they have misled the public and helped to create the addiction crisis gripping Maryland and the nation. In addition, the Governor's Fiscal Year 2019 budget dedicated $159 million to non-Medicaid substance use disorder and addiction programs and includes $13.7 million in new funding for the state's response to the heroin and opioid epidemic. The budget also includes $3 million in grant funding for local boards of education to implement prevention and education programs, and provides $1.2 million to expand treatment programs and job readiness training for the Maryland Department of Public Safety and Corrections' pre-release population, as well as 15 new positions at the Maryland Department of Health to assist inmates in applying for Medicaid eligibility prior to release. Importantly, the governor’s capital budget provides funding for Helping Up Mission in Baltimore City and Westminster Rescue Mission in Carroll County to expand treatment services for women.15
What the participants in the Listening Tour told us is needed:

- Increase the availability of treatment services, including inpatient treatment programs
- Expand rehabilitation services for pregnant women and affected newborns
- Provide a comprehensive continuum of care and treatment for women addicts with children including transitional housing and services that include their children
- Encourage trauma-informed therapies and services for women addicts
- Provide multi-lingual, culturally-competent services and therapies
- Enact legislation and regulations that restrict prescribing and dispensing addictive medications
- Develop more services aimed at prevention, including in the schools
- Address substance abuse early on when young people are seen in juvenile court for small offenses such as underage drinking or possession of minor amounts of marijuana
- Explore “best practices” in other jurisdictions (Specifically mentioned during the forums were: “Not My Child,” the “Oxford House” model of self-run, self-supported recovery houses, and the TAMAR (trauma, addictions, mental health and recovery) program for women in corrections facilities in Maryland.)
- Increase requirements for accountability among doctors and pharmacists; train doctors regarding the dangers of over-prescribing addictive drugs

“Young women are grappling with addiction in K-12 and overdosing as mothers and grandmothers later on in life. Women need help.”

A Survey Respondent
Women in Leadership Positions

The women who talked to us often remarked that if there were more women in policy, legislative and corporate decision-making positions, most of the issues raised at these public forums would be addressed with the women’s perspective taken fully into consideration. However, here are some numbers that give context to the concerns raised by the women who talked to us during the Listening Tour:

In Maryland, only 15 percent of the seats on corporate boards of directors are held by women, falling below even the disproportionately low national average of 22.2 percent for Fortune 500 companies.16

Of the 24 major jurisdictions in Maryland (23 counties plus Baltimore City), only two (Frederick County and Baltimore City) have a female chief executive.

Presently, Maryland has no women in its 10-member delegation to Congress, including both the U.S. Senate and the House of Representatives.17

Maryland has no women holding state-wide elected executive office.18 (This includes only offices filled by general elections.)

In the 2018 Maryland General Assembly, only 13 of the 47 state Senators (28 percent) are women, and only 49 of the 141 members of the House of Delegates (35 percent) are women, meaning that women comprise only 33 percent of the Maryland legislature.19 While this ratio places Maryland ninth among the 50 states in the representation of women in state legislatures, it is still far from the 51.6 percent that women comprise of the population of our state.

What the participants in the Listening Tour told us is needed:

- Develop programs that encourage women to be politically engaged and to run for office
- Offer leadership training for girls in schools and provide leadership experience starting at a young age
- Create more student positions on official boards, committees and commissions
- Demand that more women are in decision-making roles in the media
- Establish mentorship programs including with women in business and encourage employers to build mentoring across differences
- Provide information about how to get appointed to county and state boards, committees and commissions
- Require gender respect and equality training for all public officials
- Institute a “watch” program for public meetings, hearings and other events to hold public officials accountable for their language and behavior
- Institute campaign finance reform to “level the playing field” between men and women candidates
Access to Quality, Affordable Child Care

According to the Maryland Family Network,20 72,000 babies are born each year in this state and there are 360,000 children five years old or younger in Maryland. The mothers of 80 percent of these children are in the workforce. Only 28 percent of Maryland’s young children are cared for in their own homes while 60 percent are in regulated child care. The price of regulated child care can be prohibitive – often costing working families more than college tuition. In fact, in 10 of Maryland’s 24 major jurisdictions, the estimated child care cost is over $19,000 annually – and it is more than $27,000 and $26,000 in Montgomery and Howard Counties, respectively. All this, while the Maryland State Child Care Association21 estimates the average compensation of child care staff at $26,000 per year. The Institute for Women’s Policy Research ranked Maryland as 35 of the 50 states in the percentage of working women’s median wages required for infant care expenses,22 meaning that women in 34 states need a smaller percentage of their earnings to cover the cost of caring for their infants while they are at work.

Four general child care issues were raised frequently in the public forums:

1. The cost of tuition as a percent of working families’ income
2. The income eligibility limits for child care tuition subsidies
3. The reimbursement rates for child care providers
4. The low wages of child care workers

The Maryland Family Network tells us that state-by-state comparisons indicate that Maryland has a lower income eligibility level than most other states, requires higher co-payments and provides lower reimbursements rates for providers.

During the 2018 session of the Maryland General Assembly, SB0379/HB043023 passed and was approved by the Governor. This bill increases the state’s mandatory Child Care Subsidy Program to not less than 60% of the most recent market rate survey or its equivalent by the year 2022, phasing in the increase over the next four years.23

What the participants in the Listening Tour told us is needed:

• Raise the income-eligibility limits for child care subsidies
• Expand tax credits for middle income families for child care expenses
• Develop incentives for employers to provide child care benefits, including on-site centers
• Make additional resources and training available for both center-based and in-home child care providers
• Establish a dedicated tax on casino revenues to support these increases
• Simplify in-home child care provider certification process
• Establish incentives for provision of overnight child care
• Establish school-age child care programs with schedules consistent with “normal” work schedules (not closing for spring break, snow days or professional days, for example)
• Initiate state-wide incentive programs to recruit more child care providers
• Encourage and make available licensure training to increase the number of family care providers
WE LISTENED. NOW, WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?

Clearly, to address these issues, there is much work to be done by our elected officials, program administrators, service providers, policy makers and advocates. More study is needed on some of the issues and their recommendations. This project asked Maryland women to speak for themselves. Therefore, this report does not include recommendations from the MCW on each issue. Instead, it lists some of the steps the respondents and participants said they thought would help improve the situation. The Maryland Commission for Women will use this information to guide its work for years to come. In addition, the Commission invites the many organizations that co-sponsored the forums with us to continue supporting women and to take steps toward addressing the issues raised during this initiative.

We firmly believe that all the concerns noted by the 1,400+ women who participated in the Voices of Maryland Women Listening Tour, either by attending a forum or submitting a survey, are important. It will be through concerted efforts at the state, county, city and community levels that women’s lives in Maryland will be improved.

“I think in many ways Maryland is a very woman friendly state and I’m very proud of the strides we’ve made, but I also think we always need to be looking forward and looking at ways to progress and improve. Thank you!”

A Survey Respondent
Voices of Maryland Women

The Maryland Commission for Women conducted a series of 19 public forums around the state inviting women in Maryland’s diverse regions and communities to talk to us about the challenges they encounter in their everyday lives. The Voices of Maryland Women Listening Tour has been a coordinated partnership with county Commissions for Women and other organizations from the western panhandle to the eastern shore to hold listening sessions in their communities. Listening Tour dates, destinations and our hosts for each public forum are listed below. Visit [www.marylandwomen.org](http://www.marylandwomen.org) for a report on each session.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Co-Host</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 13, 2016</td>
<td>Washington County</td>
<td>Washington County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington Technical High School, Hagerstown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 29, 2016</td>
<td>Baltimore County</td>
<td>Baltimore County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County Library, Towson Branch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 20, 2016</td>
<td>Garrett County</td>
<td>Garrett County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ace's Run Restaurant, Oakland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 7, 2016</td>
<td>Women of the World Festival</td>
<td>Notre Dame of Maryland University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDMU Campus, Baltimore City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 8, 2106</td>
<td>Howard County</td>
<td>Howard County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Howard County Senior Center, Ellicott City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 27, 2016</td>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>Prince George's County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prince George's Community College, Largo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 17, 2016</td>
<td>Calvert County</td>
<td>Calvert County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College of Southern Maryland, Prince Frederick</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 8, 2017</td>
<td>Women Moving Forward</td>
<td>Out For Justice, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MD Correctional Institution for Women, Jessup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 18, 2017</td>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>Montgomery County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silver Spring Civic Building, Silver Spring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 4, 2017</td>
<td>Frederick County</td>
<td>Frederick County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hood College, Frederick</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 23, 2017</td>
<td>Charles County</td>
<td>Charles County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College of Southern Maryland, La Plata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 8, 2017</td>
<td>Anne Arundel County</td>
<td>Anne Arundel County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anne Arundel Community College, Arnold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 11, 2017</td>
<td>Harford County</td>
<td>Harford County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abingdon Library, Abingdon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 9, 2017</td>
<td>Allegany County</td>
<td>Allegany County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allegany College, Cumberland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 28, 2017</td>
<td>St. Mary's County</td>
<td>St. Mary's County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chesapeake Building, Leonardstown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 17, 2017</td>
<td>Worcester County &amp; Lower Shore</td>
<td>Worcester County Commission for Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Board of Education Building, Newark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 23, 2017</td>
<td>Baltimore City</td>
<td>Baltimore City Councilwoman Sharon Green Middleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AFSCME Building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 24, 2017</td>
<td>Mid and Upper Eastern Shore</td>
<td>Senator Adelaide C. Eckardt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chesapeake College, Wye Mills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 6, 2017</td>
<td>Girl Scouts</td>
<td>Girl Scouts of Central Maryland and McDaniel College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>McDaniel College Campus, Westminster</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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