Title IVE Waiver Advisory Council Minutes 9-10-15

Attendees:
Linda Carter     Quintin McDonald     By Phone:
Sandra Pinkney  Jessica Potter       Jennifer Mettridge     Lizette Ubides
Steve Berry     Aneeta Pearson        Rena Mohamed        Laura Mueller
David Chen      Cameron Ellerbee      Nicholette Smith-Bligen  Paul DiLorenzo
Al Zachik       Emma Fesperman       Jaclin Warner-Wiggins  Lisa Hartman
Karen Powell    Jill Svrjcek

I. Minutes from last meeting
   a. To be approved during meeting in October due to the small group present and extra
      attachment of small trauma group exercise.

II. 17th Annual Child Welfare Waiver Demonstration Meeting Update
    a. All states (waiver and non-waiver) were invited to Washington D.C. to discuss updates
       regarding the Title IV-E Waiver. Information regarding EBP implementation, CANS,
       evaluation, and fiscal matters were presented.
    b. Presentation at conference by staff from Senators Orin Hatch and Ron Wyden’s offices
       suggested that the Waiver will likely not be renewed after 2019, but bills are being
       introduced to create permanent flexibility in IV-E funding; bills are S1932 and the S1964.

III. Steering Committee/Director Updates
    a. Concept Paper –Concept paper submissions were summarized in a table and reviewed
       by the advisory council. A brief overview for some of the proposed EBPs and PPs was
       provided.
    b. Questions and Answers
       i. Rena asked what was the committee looking for when asking for trauma-informed? Was the committee looking for the proposed intervention to be trauma-informed?...The proposed intervention did not have to be trauma-informed, which is a framework for understanding, recognizing, and responding to the effects of all types of trauma.
       ii. Another question was asked regarding how many papers are going to be selected and what is the timeline for selection?...The target is to select 4-6 jurisdictions by the end of September. DHR and the steering committee are looking for readiness and commonality among jurisdictions.
    c. SafeCare –Two counties have already been selected as sites for SafeCare. Georgia State University would like two more sites to get involved, and another 8-9 jurisdictions have expressed interest.

IV. Next Steps
    a. Workgroups have been on hold due to the concept papers, SafeCare, etc.
    b. At the next advisory council, we will discuss which EBPs and jurisdictions have been
       selected for implementation.