SAFETY ASSESSMENT FOR EVERY CHILD (SAFE-C)

Date Assessment Initiated:			st Safety Plan:			
Casehead's Name:		Child's Na				
Relationship: Name of Caregiver:	Client ID	CPS/Case	ID: CIS ID:		Age:	
Section I: Other Children in Household	Ciletti iD	·-	CIG ID.		Aye.	
Name			Age			
Section II: Timeframe for Completion						
CPS Investigations/SFC/ Out of Home Maltreatment Investigations/ROA						
At the initial face to face contact with the alleged victim and contact or attempted	d contact with the	ne caregive	When the Safety Plan is re	-evaluated (date	of last Safety Pla	an)
At the completion/closure of the investigation/AR if the case has been opened to	onger than 3 mo	onths				
In-Home Family Services	!lt-	l / alaka a£ la	at Cafat Dian	Defens completion		-!
Within 7 working days of case acceptance in services When the Safety Plan Out of Home Placement Services	is re-evaluated	ı (date or la	st Salety Plan)	Before completing	ig a case recons	sideration
At time of trial home visit with caregiver's		Before co	mpleting a reconsideration			
Within 10 working days of the assignment or transfer of the case to the assessor	r		orking days before beginning	unsupervised visit	ation	
		Within 7 v	orking days prior to returning	the child home		
Common to all Timeframes	ion of the indivi	duala in tha	homo			
When the case assessor discovers there is a significant change in the compositi When circumstances suggest that the child's safety may be jeopardized	ion of the indivi	uuais iii tiie	HOHE			
Section III. Factors Influencing Child Vulnerability						
☐ Age 0-5 years old	□ ni	minished of	nysical capacity (e.g., non-amb	ulatory limited us	e of limbs)	
				alatory, militor usi	o or minos)	
☐ Diminished mental capacity (e.g., developmental delay, non-verbal)	I capacity (e.g., developmental delay, non-verbal)					
☐ Significant diagnosed medical or mental disorder	☐ Ch	nild's extrem	e anxiety or fear about the cu	rent placement or	home environm	ent
Section IV: Danger Influences					Yes	No
•						
1. Caregiver fails to protect the child from serious harm or threatened harm by othe	rs. (This may ir	nclude failur	e to protect the child from phys	sical abuse,		
sexual abuse, or neglect.)						
Caregiver made a plausible threat to cause serious physical harm to the child or	HAC coursed so	orious physi	cal harm to the child as indicat	od by: vorbal		
threat of serious injury OR serious injury to the child OR threat of retaliation against				ed by. Verbar		
3. There has been a current act of maltreatment since the last SAFE-C, where exce		e or physica	I force against the child, in whi	ch a weapon or		
object (e.g., gun, knife, cord, hanger, etc) was used to inflict or threaten harm to t	the child.					
Child sexual abuse is suspected and circumstances suggest that the child's safe	ty may be of im	modiato co	noom			
4. Citilo sexual abuse is suspected and circumstances suggest that the citilo's safe	ty may be of in	imediate co	iceiii.		Ц	Ш
5. Caregiver describes the child in predominately negative terms or acts towards the	e child in negat	tive wavs th	at result in the child being a da	inger to self or		
others, acting out aggressively, or being severely withdrawn and/or suicidal.		,		gar ta aan ar	_	_
6. Caregiver's suspected or observed substance abuse/use seriously impairs his/he				d is a drug		
exposed newborn/infant and the caregiver is unable or unwilling to cooperate with t	reatment for su	ibstance ab	JSE/USE.			
7. Caregiver's emotional instability, developmental status, lack of knowledge, skills	or motivation to	narent co	unitive deficiency or behaviors	resulting from		
mental or physical illness or disability, seriously impairs his/her current ability to sup				resulting from		
8. Caregiver's explanation for an injury to the child is questionable or inconsistent w	vith the type of i	injury and th	e nature of the injury suggests	s that the child's		
safety may be of immediate concern.						
Comments (1-8):						
· /						
Caregiver's justification or denial of his/her own harmful behavior or the harmful behavior.	oehavior of othe	ers, places t	he child in immediate danger.			
			olegopatel 1 12 12			
10. Caregiver does not or refuses to provide supervision to protect the child, based caregiver to adequately plan for the child's supervision, and this places the child in			eiopmental needs and there is	no substitute		
	ouidio dall	J				
Comments						
11. Domestic violence exists in the home and poses an imminent danger of serious	physical and o	or emotional	harm to the child. (A lethality	assessment mav		
be needed to protect other persons in the home.) Lethality Assessment C			(A Committee of the committee of t		_	
Comments						

SAFETY ASSESSMENT FOR EVERY CHILD (SAFE-C)

Section IV: Danger Influences	Yes	No	
12. Caregiver does not meet the child's current/imminent environmental needs for food or clothing or adequate shelter and there are no substitute caregivers who are capable of obtaining resources to meet the needs. Comments			
13. The child's whereabouts are unknown, the family refuses access to the child or there is reason to believe that the family is about to flee.			
14. The child has special needs, behaviors or medical concerns and the caregiver does not meet the child's needs for current/immediate medical, dental or mental healthcare. Comments			
15. The child is extremely anxious or fearful about the current home environment. Comments			
16. The child is unable to protect self and conditions in the home indicate immediate danger. Comments			
17. Previous services to the caregiver regarding similar harmful behaviors resulted in no change in the caregiver's behaviors towards the child(ren).			
18. There have been multiple reports from the community or since the last SAFE-C regarding this family, where there were previous concerns about the safety of the child (ren)." Date of Multidisciplinary Team Meeting:			
Caregiver is able and willing to participate in creating and carrying out safety interventions to protect the child. Caregiver is able and willing to use resources that are necessary to protect child. Caregiver has supportive relationships with one or more persons who may be willing to participate in safety planning AND caregiver is willing and able to accept their assistance. Caregiver exhibits self control and puts the child's safety ahead of his/her own needs and/or wants. There is evidence of a healthy relationship between caregiver and child. Caregiver has demonstrated effective problem solving.	s are immediately	available.	
Danger Influences Identified: Child is Safe (Influences 1-18 Marked No) Child is Conditionally Safe (Any Influences 1-16 is checked and there is a completed Safety Plan that is signed by all parties Child is Conditionally Safe (Any Influences 17-18 is checked "Yes" all actions in a required case staffing have been implemented") Child is Unsafe Any Influences 1-18 was checked "Yes" and there is NO Safety Plan Child currently has an Out of Home Program Assignment and it is not safe for the child to return to this caregiver." Caregiver did not agree to a Safety Plan Danger cannot be addressed via Safety Plan SECTION VII: COMMENTS			
LDSS: Worker's Name: Supervisor's Name: Title: Title: Title: Safety Assessment Completion Date: Safety Assessment Approval Date:			